arXiv:1408.5358v2 [math.AG] 25 Feb 2016

COX RINGS OVER NONCLOSED FIELDS

ULRICH DERENTHAL AND MARTA PIEROPAN

Abstract. We give a new definition of Cox rings and Cox sheaves for suitable varieties over nonclosed fields that is compatible with torsors under quasitori, including universal torsors. We study their existence and classification, we make the relation to torsors precise, and we present arithmetic applications.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Over separably closed fields 7
3. Over nonclosed fields 16
4. Finitely generated Cox rings 26
5. Arithmetic applications 29
References 38

1. Introduction

Starting in the 1970s, Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc studied torsors under quasitori, i.e., under groups of multiplicative type. In particular, they introduced *universal torsors* of varieties with finitely generated geometric Picard group, which were used to study rational points and other arithmetic questions on geometrically rational varieties over number fields; see [CTS87, Sko01].

In the 1990s, Cox [Cox95] constructed homogeneous coordinate rings of toric varieties over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. Salberger [Sal98, §8] showed that Cox's construction gives rise to universal torsors of toric varieties over arbitrary fields. Homogeneous coordinate rings, or *Cox rings*, were introduced for more general classes of varieties by Hu, Keel [HK00], Elizondo, Kurano, Watanabe [EKW04], Berchtold, Hausen [BH03, Hau08], and others. Soon it was observed informally that there is a close connection to universal torsors (see the introductions of [BP04, HT04]). Beyond Salberger's work for toric varieties, this connection has been made precise only over algebraically closed fields. The first result that does it in some generality seems to be [Has09, Theorem 5.6]: For a smooth integral projective variety X with free and finitely generated Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and finitely generated Cox ring over an algebraically closed field, a universal torsor admits an open embedding into the spectrum of a Cox ring of X, equivariantly under the action of the Néron–Severi torus of X.

In this article, we define Cox rings and Cox sheaves for varieties over fields that are not necessarily algebraically closed in a way that is compatible with the definition of universal torsors and, more generally, of torsors under quasitori. We start by revisiting and generalizing the definition and construction of Cox rings and Cox sheaves over separably closed fields from an axiomatic point of view; see

Date: February 25, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C20 (11G35, 14L30).
Key words and phrases. Cox ring, Cox sheaf, universal torsor.

Section 2. We study their existence and classification over arbitrary fields, and we make the relation to torsors precise; see Section 3. Then we conclude with some properties of finitely generated Cox rings and their arithmetic applications in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

It is an active field of research to determine generators and relations of Cox rings over separably closed fields for many classes of varieties. Since we also provide explicit methods to derive a description of Cox rings and torsors over nonclosed fields from these, we hope that our work lays the foundation for further arithmetic applications of the vast literature on Cox rings.

1.1. **Cox rings over closed fields.** For us, a variety is a geometrically integral separated scheme of finite type over a field. First, we consider the case of a variety X over a separably closed field k with only constant invertible global sections. Assume that $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is finitely generated with no p-torsion if the characteristic of k is $p > 0$; we always refer to this saying that the torsion of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is coprime to the characteristic of k. These are basic assumptions in the theories of Cox rings and universal torsors; see [CTS77, BH03]. In particular, the last one ensures that there is just one isomorphism class of Cox sheaves of X (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.8).

As a $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$-graded k-vector space, a Cox ring of X is

$$R = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{Pic}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)), \tag{1.1}$$

where D runs through a set $\mathcal{D}$ of divisors forming a system of representatives of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. It is not completely straightforward to define a multiplication on R that turns it into a k-algebra because, given $D_1, D_2, D_3 \in \mathcal{D}$ with $[D_1] + [D_2] = [D_3]$, the multiplication of sections gives a map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_1)) \times H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_2)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2)) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_3)), \tag{1.2}$$

but there is no canonical choice for the latter isomorphism. We can only make it unique up to nonzero scalars by the natural requirement that $s_3 = s_1 s_2$ with $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_i))$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{div}_{D_1}(s_1) + \mathrm{div}_{D_2}(s_2) = \mathrm{div}_{D_3}(s_3),$$

where $\mathrm{div}_{D_i}(s_i)$ is the effective divisor linearly equivalent to D_i that corresponds to s_i.

If X is smooth and projective with free Picard group, the standard construction of a Cox ring (see [HT04, CT06, Has09, TVAV11], for example) is to choose divisors $D_1, \dots, D_n$ whose classes form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, and to take $\mathcal{D}$ as the set of all $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of $D_1, \dots, D_n$. Then no choice of isomorphisms as above is needed. It is important to note (see [BP04, HT04], for example) that a different choice of $D_1, \dots, D_n$ leads to a Cox ring that is *noncanonically* isomorphic to the previous one.

If X is a normal variety that is singular (and not locally factorial), we have the alternative option to work with the Weil divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ instead of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. This alternative is pursued in [EKW04, BH07, Hau08, ADHL15], for example. We will see that the approach via $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is the right choice from the point of view of universal torsors, and admits a natural generalization in the case of torsors under quasitori.

Different approaches also exist when $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (or $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$) is not free. One option is to work with divisors $D_1, \dots, D_n$ whose classes form a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (or $\mathrm{Cl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$). This simplified point of view is taken in [HK00, GOST15, CT15], for example. Here, different choices of $D_1, \dots, D_n$ generally lead to nonisomorphic results. This is enough to study finite generation of the resulting rings, but it is clearly not suitable from the point of view of universal torsors. To obtain a

Cox ring as in (1.1) that is unique up to (noncanonical) isomorphism, one can run through a free group of divisors (or line bundles) whose classes *generate* $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ (or $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$) and then choose a *shifting family* or an *identifying character* to identify $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D'))$ for all D, D' in this group with $[D] = [D']$ in a compatible way; see [BH03] for $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, [Hau08, ADHL15] for $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$, and our Construction 2.7 for a formal treatment that generalizes both. Another option is to work with the choice of a k-rational point on X as in [BP04, Definition 1.1] and [ADHL15, Construction 1.4.2.3].

We propose the new alternative to work with an arbitrary set $\mathcal{D}$ of divisors forming a system of representatives of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (or $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$) and to define the multiplication via the choice of a splitting of the natural exact sequence

$$1 \to k^\times \to k(X)^\times \to k(X)^\times/k^\times \to 1,$$

which is familiar from the theory of universal torsors; see Construction 3.14. More importantly, we give an axiomatic definition of Cox rings (see Definition 2.1) and show that our axioms are satisfied precisely by the rings obtained from all the constructions above (in their $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$-versions). For locally factorial X, [ADHL15, Proposition 1.6.1.7, Corollary 1.6.2.7, Construction 1.6.3.1] draws the connection between Cox rings, Cox sheaves, and universal torsors, generalizing the result [Has09, Theorem 5.6] mentioned above. We extend the result to arbitrary X.

1.2. **The definition of Cox rings over nonclosed fields.** Now we assume that X is a variety over a field k that is not separably closed. Let $\overline{k}$ be a separable closure. One option is to define a Cox ring R as in (1.1), working with the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (or the Weil divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$) of X over the ground field k. This approach is essentially taken in [AHS02, BP04, EKW04, GOST15]. It has the disadvantage that the base change $R \otimes_k \overline{k}$ is smaller than a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}} := X \times_k \overline{k}$ if X is *nonsplit* (i.e., the natural map $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is not an isomorphism). Furthermore, in the nonsplit case, it turns out that it is incompatible with universal torsors. Only in the case of *split* varieties this yields Cox rings that behave well under base change and are compatible with universal torsors.

To obtain compatibility with universal torsors also in the nonsplit cases, we propose the following new approach to Cox rings of a variety X over a nonclosed field k, with finitely generated $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k, and such that $X_{\overline{k}}$ has only constant invertible global sections. Essentially, a ring R is defined to be a Cox ring of X if and only if $R \otimes_k \overline{k}$ is a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$, with a compatible action of the Galois group $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$; see Definition 3.4 for the details. It is important to note that $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, $\mathrm{CaDiv}(X_{\overline{k}})$ and $\overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})$ come with natural actions of $\mathfrak{g}$, but that there is generally no canonical action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on

$$\bigoplus_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})} H^0(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)),$$

where D runs through a set $\mathcal{D}$ of divisors forming a system of representatives of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Namely, for $s \in H^0(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D))$ and $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we would like to define $\mathrm{g} * s$ via $\mathrm{g}(s) \in H^0(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(\mathrm{g}D)) \cong H^0(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D'))$ for $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ with $[D'] = [\mathrm{g}D]$, but as in (1.2), there is no canonical choice of isomorphism; we can only require that $\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{div}_D(s) = \mathrm{div}_{D'}(\mathrm{g} * s)$, which makes $\mathrm{g} * s$ unique only up to scalars. If there is a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant set of divisors giving a basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, however, no such isomorphisms have to be chosen, and then it is not hard to write down examples of Cox rings (see the final example in [HT04, §4]). If X is a smooth complete toric variety, a universal torsor and a Cox ring are easily constructed (see [CTS87, §2.4.4], [Sal98, end of §8] and Remark 3.19).

It is straightforward to write down a $\mathrm{Cl}(X_{\overline{k}})$-version of our definition of Cox rings and Cox sheaves for normal varieties X over nonclosed fields k. Parts of this are presented in [ADHL15, §6.1.3].

1.3. **Cox rings of arbitrary type.** Because of their connection with universal torsors, Cox rings of split varieties over number fields were successfully applied to study Manin's conjecture on the distribution of rational points [FMT89] via the universal torsor method; see [Sal98, FP15] for a systematic discussion. For some nonsplit varieties, other torsors have been used; see for example [dlBBP12]. We are therefore interested in a generalization of Cox rings related to a wider class of torsors, namely, to torsors under quasitori, of which universal torsors are a special example.

We recall that there is an antiequivalence of categories between quasitori over k (that is, smooth groups of multiplicative type of finite type) and finitely generated $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with torsion coprime to the characteristic of k, which is realized by sending a quasitorus G to the $\mathfrak{g}$-module of characters $\widehat{G_{\overline{k}}} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{k}}(G_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\overline{k}})$. Isomorphism classes of X-torsors under a quasitorus G are classified by $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, G_X)$, and the *type* of a torsor is determined via the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, G) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, G_X) \xrightarrow{\mathtt{type}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{G_{\overline{k}}}, \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})) \to H^2_{\acute{e}t}(k, G), \qquad (1.3)$$

where the map $\mathtt{type}$ sends the isomorphism class of a torsor Y to the homomorphism that sends a character $\chi \in \widehat{G_{\overline{k}}}$ to the isomorphism class of the pushforward $\chi_* Y$ in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. By definition, universal torsors are torsors under quasitori of identity type; see [CTS87].

We introduce the notion of Cox ring of a given *type* $\lambda : M \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, where M is a finitely generated $\mathfrak{g}$-module whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k. In particular, we do not require that $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is finitely generated. The connection to torsors is established in a statement analogous to [Has09, Theorem 5.6] mentioned before: For an integral projective variety X with finitely generated Cox ring of type λ, if $\lambda(M)$ contains an ample divisor class, then every torsor of type λ admits an open embedding into the spectrum of a Cox ring of X of type λ, equivariantly under the action of the corresponding quasitorus. See Proposition 4.1 and the subsequent corollary for the precise statement.

If $M = \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, a torsor of *identity type*, that is, with $\lambda = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$, is a universal torsor of X, and a Cox ring of identity type is a Cox ring in the original sense. Our results are all stated for Cox rings and Cox sheaves of abitrary type λ. The statements for ordinary Cox rings and universal torsors are then recovered by taking $M := \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ and $\lambda := \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$.

1.4. **The relation between Cox rings, Cox sheaves and torsors.** For a variety over an algebraically closed field, it is known that the ring of global sections of a $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$-graded Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox ring and that the relative spectrum of $\mathcal{R}$ yields a *good quotient* $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to X$, which is a universal torsor if X is locally factorial. If $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ differs from $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, this quotient is not a torsor; see [Hau08, Remark 2.1], [ADHL15, Corollary 1.6.2.7]. The relative spectrum of our Cox sheaves always yields torsors, as our first main theorem shows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a field. Let $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$, where $\overline{k}$ is a separable closure of k. Let X be a geometrically integral k-variety with $\overline{k}[X]^\times = \overline{k}^\times$. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathfrak{g}$-module whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k, and $\lambda : M \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant homomorphism. The contravariant functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\textit{Cox sheaves of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} & \longrightarrow & \{X\textit{-torsors of type } \lambda\} \\ \mathcal{R} & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \end{array}$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories. If the image of λ is generated by effective divisor classes, then the covariant functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\textit{Cox sheaves of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} & \longrightarrow & \{\textit{Cox rings of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} \\ \mathcal{R} & \longmapsto & \mathcal{R}(X) \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Here, a torsor $\pi : Y \to X$ of type λ corresponds to the Cox sheaf $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ and to the Cox ring $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ of the same type. A Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of type λ corresponds to the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(X)$ and to the torsor $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to X$ of the same type. Given a Cox ring, it is more involved to recover the corresponding Cox sheaf and torsor; see Sections 3 and 4.

1.5. **The construction of Cox rings over nonclosed fields.** A variety X over a nonclosed field k might have no Cox ring, or it might have several nonisomorphic Cox rings of the same type. To construct a Cox ring of a variety over a nonclosed field k, the idea is to perform any of the constructions sketched in Section 1.1 over $\overline{k}$ in a Galois-equivariant way. This should give a Cox ring over $\overline{k}$ together with an action of $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. Then Galois descent gives a Cox ring over k.

The existence criteria for universal torsors and torsors under quasitori over smooth varieties via certain $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splittings [CTS87], [Sko01, Theorem 2.3.4, Corollary 2.3.10] lead to Cox sheaves and Cox rings via Theorem 1.1. It turns out that these splittings allow us to construct Cox sheaves and Cox rings directly also for certain singular varieties; see Construction 3.14. This gives existence criteria and constructions for universal torsors and torsors under quasitori in a more general setting.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be a field. Let $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$, where $\overline{k}$ is a separable closure of k. Let X be a geometrically integral k-variety with $\overline{k}[X]^\times = \overline{k}^\times$. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathfrak{g}$-module whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k, and $\lambda : M \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant homomorphism.*

For the existence of Cox sheaves, Cox rings and torsors of type λ over X, the existence of one of the following suffices.

(1) A $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of the natural exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$1 \to \overline{k}^\times \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times/\overline{k}^\times \to 1.$$

(2) An open subset $U \subseteq X$ such that $\lambda(M)$ is generated by classes of Cartier divisors on $X_{\overline{k}}$ supported outside $U_{\overline{k}}$, with a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of the natural exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$1 \to \overline{k}^\times \to \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times \to \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times/\overline{k}^\times \to 1.$$

(3) A $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant character $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X)^\times$, where Λ_0 is the kernel of the morphism $\Lambda \to M$ defined in Proposition 3.13.

(4) A k-rational point on X.

The existence of characters as in (3) *for all suitable Λ is necessary for the existence of Cox sheaves or torsors of X of type λ. If $X_{\overline{k}}$ is locally factorial and λ is injective, then the existence of splittings as in* (2) *for all suitable U are necessary for the existence of Cox sheaves or torsors of X of type λ. If k is perfect and $X_{\overline{k}}$ is locally factorial, then the existence of a splitting as in* (1) *is necessary for the existence of Cox sheaves of type $\mathrm{id}_{\operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$ and universal torsors of X.*

The existence of Cox sheaves of type λ is necessary for the existence of Cox rings of X of type λ if $\lambda(M)$ is generated by effective divisor classes.

Note that the existence of a k-rational point is not necessary for the existence of Cox rings and Cox sheaves on X; see Example 3.20. Splittings as in (1) and (2) were previously only known to be linked to torsors over smooth varieties; the proofs made heavy use of spectral sequences. Characters as in (3) were previously only known to be linked to Cox sheaves and Cox rings over algebraically closed fields. Our proof uses results from [CTS87, Sko01] only to show that if k is perfect the existence of a splitting as in (2) implies the existence of a splitting as in (1) and is otherwise self-contained (up to the use of standard results from algebraic geometry and Galois descent).

1.6. **Arithmetic applications.** As already mentioned, torsors are central tools in the study of rational points on a variety X over a number field k. To study the Hasse principle and weak approximation, often a *local* description of torsors is sufficient; see [CTS87, §3] and [Sko01, Part 2], for example. Such a local description over sufficiently small open subsets U of X can be determined as in [CTS87, §2.3].

For other applications, a *global* description of torsors is needed. In particular, one approach to Manin's conjecture [FMT89], which predicts an asymptotic formula for the number of rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties, is to parameterize the rational points on X by integral points on a universal torsor; this was initiated in [Sal98]. For this approach, the necessary explicit global description of universal torsors should be provided by Cox rings once their generators and relations are known.

However, the relation between Cox rings and the parameterization of rational points used in proofs of special cases of Manin's conjecture is rarely made precise; of course the lack of a suitable definition of Cox rings over nonclosed fields until now is a fundamental reason for this. In many cases such as [dlBBD07], a parameterization is obtained by elementary manipulations of the equations defining X; for split X, one typically observes that the resulting auxiliary equations are the relations in a Cox ring of X, at least over $\overline{k}$, and then one expects that the parameterization is induced by a universal torsor; for nonsplit X, the geometric interpretation of the parameterization sometimes remains completely unclear, as in [dlBB07]. In other cases such as [dlBBP12], [BBS14], (universal) torsors are determined rigorously, while Cox rings remain behind the scenes or are only briefly mentioned.

The only cases where the role of Cox rings (of identity type) is made rigorous seem to be split toric varieties [Sal98] and split weak del Pezzo surfaces [FP15]; for these classes of varieties, the explicit description of Cox rings from [Cox95, HT04, Der14] is successfully applied to prove many cases of Manin's conjecture; see [Sal98, Pie15b, FP15] and the references in [ADHL15, §6.4.1].

Beyond this, there is a vast literature describing Cox rings of identity type over closed fields for many classes of varieties that has not yet been used for arithmetic applications; for example, see [Bri07, Gag14] for spherical varieties, [HS10] for varieties with torus actions, [DHH$^+$15] for Fano threefolds, and [HKL16] for general algorithms.

Our present article provides the missing definitions of Cox rings over arbitrary fields and makes their connection to torsors of arbitrary type precise. Furthermore, we give explicit methods to descend Cox rings from closed fields to nonclosed fields, and to compute Cox rings of arbitrary type once generators and relations of a Cox ring of identity type are known. This should make the existing literature on Cox rings over closed fields accessible to arithmetic applications via torsors over nonclosed fields.

In Section 5, we start this investigation by analyzing the role of Cox rings in existing arithmetic results. We observe that not only universal torsors and Cox rings of identity type, but also torsors and Cox rings of other types play a role; this is a

primary motivation for us to introduce Cox rings of arbitrary type. More precisely, we show that the parameterizations appearing in proofs of Manin's conjecture for some nonsplit varieties [dlBB07, dlBBP12] can be interpreted in terms of Cox rings of type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. We compute such Cox rings as *pullbacks* of Cox rings of identity type. Recent work of Destagnol [Des15] applies Cox rings of another injective type to the computation of Peyre's constant in the asymptotic formula predicted by Manin's conjecture for some Châtelet surfaces.

Acknowledgements. The authors were supported by grants DE 1646/3-1 and ES 60/10-1 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. We thank J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. A. Cox, J. Hausen, and A. N. Skorobogatov for useful remarks and discussions, and we thank S. Keicher for explaining the use of the Maple package [HK15] to us and for providing some computations that we used in the proof of Proposition 5.3.

2. Over separably closed fields

In this section we introduce a new notion of Cox rings and Cox sheaves of varieties over separably closed fields of arbitrary characteristics. We give an axiomatic definition, as well as a construction that leads to prove that Cox rings of a given *type* are all isomorphic. Given a variety X, our Cox rings of *type* $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X)}$ are isomorphic to the $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$-graded total coordinate rings of [BH03] and to the $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$-graded Cox rings of [Hau08, ADHL15] in characteristic 0 if $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ coincide. To prepare for Galois descent, we study morphisms and automorphisms of Cox rings and we establish the compatibility with torsors.

We start by fixing our setting and some notation. In this section, we work over a separably closed field k. Let X be an integral k-variety. We always assume that X has only constant invertible global sections. Namely, $k[X]^\times = k^\times$, where $k[X]$ denotes the ring of global sections $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of the structure sheaf of X.

Let $\mathrm{CaDiv}(X)$ be the group of Cartier divisors of X. For every divisor $D \in \mathrm{CaDiv}(X)$, we denote by $[D]$ its class in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Given a Cartier divisor $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$, its associated sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is the invertible sheaf obtained by gluing $f_i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$. This is a subsheaf of the constant sheaf associated with the function field $k(X)$ of X. Therefore, given two Cartier divisors D_1, D_2 and sections $s_i \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the product $s_1 s_2 \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(D_1 + D_2))$ is well-defined. Given a Cartier divisor $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ and an element $f \in k(X)^\times$, we denote by $\mathrm{div}_D(f)$ the Cartier divisor $\{(U_i, ff_i)\}_i$. Note that $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ if and only if $\mathrm{div}_D(f)$ is an effective divisor. The support of a Cartier divisor $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ is

$$\mathrm{Supp}(D) := \{x \in X : f_i \notin \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times \text{ if } x \in U_i\}.$$

We fix a finitely generated abelian group M whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k (i.e., the characteristic of k does not divide the order of its torsion subgroup), and a group homomorphism $\lambda : M \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, which will be the *type* of our Cox rings, Cox sheaves and torsors. We define

$$M_\lambda := \{(m, D) \in M \times \mathrm{CaDiv}(X) : [D] = \lambda(m)\}.$$

We denote by $\widehat{M} := \mathrm{Spec}\, k[M]$ the quasitorus dual to M under the antiequivalence of categories recalled in §1.3.

2.1. An axiomatic approach to Cox rings and Cox sheaves.

Definition 2.1. A *Cox ring of X of type λ* is an M-graded k-algebra R together with a map

$$\mathrm{div} : \bigcup_{m \in M} (R_m \smallsetminus \{0\}) \to \mathrm{CaDiv}(X),$$

where R_m denotes the degree-m-part of R, such that, for each $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, there is a k-vector space isomorphism $\phi_{m,D} : R_m \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ satisfying $\operatorname{div}(s) = \operatorname{div}_D(\phi_{m,D}(s))$ for all nonzero $s \in R_m$, and $\operatorname{div}(s_1 s_2) = \operatorname{div}(s_1) + \operatorname{div}(s_2)$ for all nonzero homogeneous elements s_1, s_2 of R.

Let R and R' be two Cox rings of X of type λ. A morphism of M-graded k-algebras $\psi : R \to R'$ is a *morphism of Cox rings of X of type λ* if $\operatorname{div}'(\psi(s)) = \operatorname{div}(s)$ for all nonzero homogeneous $s \in R$, where div and div' are the maps associated with R and R', respectively.

A *structure of Cox ring of X of type λ* on a k-algebra R consists of an M-grading on R and a map div as above.

In [BH03, ADHL15], a Cox ring is the ring of global sections of a Cox sheaf. We now define Cox sheaves of type λ and, by the end of this section, we prove that the Cox rings in Definition 2.1 are the rings of global sections of the Cox sheaves defined below.

Definition 2.2. A *Cox sheaf of X of type λ* is a sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of M-graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras together with a family of isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\{\phi_{m,D} : \mathcal{R}_m \to \mathcal{O}_X(D)\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_m$ denotes the degree-m-part of $\mathcal{R}$, such that, for every (m_1, D_1), $(m_2, D_2) \in M_\lambda$, there exists a nonzero constant $\alpha \in k$ that satisfies

$$\phi_{m_1,D_1}(s_1)\phi_{m_2,D_2}(s_2) = \alpha\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s_1 s_2)$$

for all $s_i \in \mathcal{R}_{m_i}(U)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and all open subsets U of X.

A *morphism of Cox sheaves of X of type λ* is a morphism of M-graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras.

A *structure of Cox sheaf of X of type λ* on a sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras consists of an M-grading on $\mathcal{R}$ and a family of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ as above.

We give an equivalent characterization of Cox rings, which is analogous to Definition 2.2. This proves that the ring of global sections of a Cox sheaf is a Cox ring of the same type.

Proposition 2.3. *An M-graded k-algebra R has a structure of Cox ring of X of type λ if and only if there exists a family of isomorphisms of k-vector spaces*

$$\{\phi_{m,D} : R_m \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda},$$

where R_m denotes the degree-m-part of R, such that, for every $(m_1, D_1), (m_2, D_2) \in M_\lambda$, there exists a nonzero constant $\alpha \in k$ that satisfies

$$\phi_{m_1,D_1}(s_1)\phi_{m_2,D_2}(s_2) = \alpha\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s_1 s_2)$$

for all $s_i \in R_{m_i}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. If R is an M-graded k-algebra endowed with a family of isomorphisms $\phi_{m,D}$ as in the statement, for every $m \in M$, choose a divisor $D \in \operatorname{CaDiv}(X)$ such that $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$ and define $\operatorname{div}(s) := \operatorname{div}_D(\phi_{m,D}(s))$ for all nonzero $s \in R_m$.

For the converse implication, assume that R is a Cox ring of X of type λ with a map div and isomorphisms $\phi_{m,D}$ as in Definition 2.1. We prove that the family of isomorphisms $\phi_{m,D}$ defines on R a structure of Cox ring of X of type λ as in the statement. Let $(m_1, D_1), (m_2, D_2) \in M_\lambda$. If $R_{m_1} = 0$ or $R_{m_2} = 0$, let $\alpha_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2} := 1$. If $s_i \in R_{m_i} \smallsetminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the elements

$$\alpha_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2} := \phi_{m_1,D_1}(s_1)\phi_{m_2,D_2}(s_2)\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s_1 s_2)^{-1}$$

belong to $k^\times$ because

$$\operatorname{div}_{D_1+D_2}(\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s_1 s_2)) = \operatorname{div}_{D_1}(\phi_{m_1,D_1}(s_1)) + \operatorname{div}_{D_2}(\phi_{m_2,D_2}(s_2)),$$

and do not depend on the chosen sections $s_i \in R_{m_i} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ because the morphisms $\phi_{m,D}$ are linear. □

Remark 2.4. Using the equivalent characterization of Proposition 2.3, a morphism of M-graded k-algebras $\psi : R \to R'$ between two Cox rings of X of type λ is a *morphism of Cox rings of X of type λ* if it is compatible with the families of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ and $\{\phi'_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ associated with R and R', respectively, as follows: for every $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, there is $\alpha_{m,D} \in k^\times$ such that $\phi'_{m,D} \circ \psi \circ \phi^{-1}_{m,D} = \alpha_{m,D}\,\mathrm{id}_{H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D))}$. Moreover, a *structure of Cox ring of X of type λ* on a k-algebra R is an M-grading on R and a family of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ as in Proposition 2.3.

We observe that a structure of Cox ring or of Cox sheaf is actually determined by a subfamily of isomorphisms $\phi_{m,D}$. This is made precise via the following lemma, which characterizes the morphisms of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules between invertible sheaves.

Lemma 2.5. *Let D, D' be two Cartier divisors and $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \mathcal{O}_X(D')$ a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Then there exists $f \in k(X)$ such that $\psi(s) = fs$ for all $s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ and all open subsets $U \subseteq X$. If $D = D'$, then $f \in k[X]$. If ψ is an isomorphism, then $D = \mathrm{div}_{D'}(f)$.*

Remark 2.6. The isomorphisms $\phi_{m,D}$ in Definition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 are uniquely determined up to multiplication by nonzero elements of k. Therefore, given a Cox sheaf (ring) $\mathcal{R}$ of X of type λ, we say that two families $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ and $\{\phi'_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ define the same Cox sheaf (respectively, ring) structure on $\mathcal{R}$ if, for every $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, there is $\alpha_{m,D} \in k^\times$ such that $\phi'_{m,D} = \alpha_{m,D}\phi_{m,D}$.

By Lemma 2.5, a Cox sheaf (ring) structure on $\mathcal{R}$ is determined once the isomorphism $\phi_{m,D}$ is known for a given $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$ for each $m \in M$.

Our axiomatic definition of Cox sheaves is compatible with the previous definitions of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$-graded Cox sheaves as follows. The sheaves defined in [BH03, Lemma 3.5], with the family of isomorphisms in [BH03, Lemma 3.5 (ii)], are Cox sheaves of identity type in the sense of Definition 2.2. If X is locally factorial, the Cox sheaves constructed in [ADHL15, Construction 1.4.2.1], with the family of isomorphisms in [ADHL15, Lemma 1.4.3.4], are Cox sheaves of identity type in the sense of Definition 2.2.

2.2. **A construction of Cox sheaves.** In order to prove that there exists exactly one isomorphism class of Cox sheaves of X of type λ, we give an explicit construction, inspired by [BH03, §3] and [Hau08, §2] (see also [ADHL15, Construction 1.4.2.1]). In the literature, Cox sheaves are constructed via a presentation of the grading group, $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ or $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$, by a finitely generated free group of line bundles or Weil divisors. Our approach is based on a presentation of the grading group by an abstract finitely generated free group, in order to handle Cox sheaves of arbitrary type.

Construction 2.7. *Let*

$$0 \to \Lambda_0 \to \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \to 0$$

be a presentation of M by a finitely generated free group Λ. Let $L_1, \dots, L_n$ be a basis of Λ. For $1 \le i \le n$, let D_i be a Cartier divisor representing the class $\lambda(\varphi(L_i))$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. For every $L = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i L_i$ in Λ, let $\mathcal{S}_L := \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i)$. Endow $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{L\in\Lambda} \mathcal{S}_L$ with the multiplication of sections induced by the embeddings $\mathcal{S}_L \subseteq k(X)$. Let $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to k(X)^\times$ be a homomorphism of groups that satisfies $\mathrm{div}_0(\chi(E)) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$ for all $E = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i L_i \in \Lambda_0$. We call χ a character associated with $\mathcal{S}$. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ locally generated by the sections $1 - \chi(E)$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$, where 1 has degree 0 and $\chi(E)$ has degree $-E$. We say*

that $\mathcal{I}$ is the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by χ. Let $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$ and $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{R}$ the projection. Then

(i) $\mathcal{I}$ is M-homogeneous, and every Λ-homogeneous section of $\mathcal{I}$ is zero;
(ii) $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L} : \mathcal{S}_L \to \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L)}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules for all $L \in \Lambda$;
(iii) $\mathcal{R}$ with a family of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ induced by $\{\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L}^{-1}\}_{L\in\Lambda}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ.

Proof. Since $\varphi(E) = 0$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$, the sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ is M-homogeneous and $\mathcal{R}$ is M-graded. To prove *(i)*, let U be an open subset of X and $s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{I})$ a homogeneous section of degree $L \in \Lambda$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open covering of U such that, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$, we can write $s|_V = \sum_{E\in\Lambda_0}(1 - \chi(E))s_E$ with $s_E \in H^0(V, \bigoplus_{E'\in\Lambda_0} \mathcal{S}_{L+E'})$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$. Let $s_{E,E'} \in H^0(V, \mathcal{S}_{L+E'})$, such that $s_E = \sum_{E'\in\Lambda_0} s_{E,E'}$. Then

$$s|_V = \sum_{E,E'\in\Lambda_0} ((1 - \chi(E - E'))\chi(E')s_{E,E'} - (1 - \chi(-E'))\chi(E')s_{E,E'}),$$

where $\chi(E')s_{E,E'}$ is homogeneous of degree L. Hence, $s|_V = \sum_{E\in\Lambda_0}(1 - \chi(E))s'_E$ for suitable $s'_E \in H^0(V, \mathcal{S}_L)$. Since s is homogeneous of degree L, we get $s|_V = 0$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Hence, $s = 0$.

For *(ii)*, note that $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L}$ is injective for all $L \in \Lambda$ by *(i)*. Let U be an open subset of X and $L \in \Lambda$. We prove that $\pi|_{H^0(U,\mathcal{S}_L)} : H^0(U, \mathcal{S}_L) \to H^0(U, \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L)})$ is surjective. Let $s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L)})$ and $\mathcal{V}$ an open covering of U such that for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a section $s_V \in H^0(V, \bigoplus_{E\in\Lambda_0} \mathcal{S}_{L+E})$ with $\pi(s_V) = s|_V$. Write $s_V = \sum_{E\in\Lambda_0} s_{V,E}$ with $s_{V,E}$ homogeneous of degree $L + E$. Then $s'_V := s_V - \sum_{E\in\Lambda_0}(1 - \chi(E))s_{V,E}$ is homogeneous of degree L and $\pi(s'_V) = s|_V$. Since $s'_V|_{V\cap W} - s'_W|_{V\cap W}$ is a Λ-homogeneous section of $\mathcal{I}$, the sections s'_V glue by *(i)* to a section $s' \in H^0(U, \mathcal{S}_L)$ such that $\pi(s') = s$.

Finally, we prove *(iii)*. For every $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, choose $L \in \varphi^{-1}(m)$ and an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\psi_{L,D} : \mathcal{S}_L \to \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Then

$$\left\{\phi_{m,D} := \psi_{L,D} \circ \pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L}^{-1} : \mathcal{R}_m \to \mathcal{O}_X(D)\right\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$$

is an arbitrary family of isomorphisms induced by $\{\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L}^{-1}\}_{L\in\Lambda}$. By Lemma 2.5, for every $(m_1, D_1), (m_2, D_2) \in M_\lambda$, there exists a nonzero constant $\alpha \in k$ that satisfies $\phi_{m_1,D_1}(s_1)\phi_{m_2,D_2}(s_2) = \alpha\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s_1s_2)$ for all $s_i \in H^0(U, \mathcal{R}_{m_i})$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and all open subsets U of X. □

Since Λ is free and finitely generated, the same is true for Λ_0. Hence, characters χ as in Construction 2.7 always exist.

Proposition 2.8. *The Cox sheaves defined in Construction 2.7 do not depend on the choice of the character χ, up to isomorphism of Cox sheaves.*

Proof. Let Λ, Λ_0 and $\mathcal{S}$ as in Construction 2.7. Let χ and χ' be two characters associated with $\mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ the sheaves of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by χ and χ', respectively. Since Λ is free and finitely generated, k is separably closed and the order of the torsion subgroup of M is not divisible by the characteristic of k, the character $\chi'\chi^{-1} : \Lambda_0 \to k^\times$ extends to a character $\alpha : \Lambda \to k^\times = k[X]^\times$, that defines an automorphism $\psi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ by sending each homogeneous element s of degree L to $\alpha(-L)s$. Since $\psi(1-\chi(E)) = 1-\alpha(E)\chi(E) = 1-\chi'(E)$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$, the automorphism ψ maps $\mathcal{I}$ onto $\mathcal{I}'$, inducing an isomorphism $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}'$ of M-graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras. □

Finally we show that all Cox sheaves of X of type λ are isomorphic, by proving at the same time that every Cox sheaf of X of type λ is isomorphic to one defined in Construction 2.7 and that the Cox sheaves obtained from Construction 2.7 are all isomorphic.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. For every $\mathcal{S}$ as in Construction 2.7, there exists a character χ associated with $\mathcal{S}$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$ of Cox sheaves of type λ, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by χ.*

Proof. Let Λ_0, Λ, φ and $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Construction 2.7. For every $m \in M$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_m$ the degree-m-part of $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathcal{S}' := \bigoplus_{L\in\Lambda} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L)}$.

Let $\phi_{m,D} : \mathcal{R}_m \to \mathcal{O}_X(D)$, for $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, be a family of isomorphisms associated with $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the basis of Λ chosen in Construction 2.7, and let Λ_+ be the monoid generated by $\mathcal{B}$. For every $L \in \mathcal{B}$, denote by D_L the Cartier divisor representing the class $\lambda(\varphi(L))$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{S}_L = \mathcal{O}_X(D_L)$. For every $L \in \Lambda_+$, write $L = \sum_{i=1}^r L_i$ with not necessarily distinct $L_i \in \mathcal{B}$, define $D_L := \sum_{i=1}^r D_{L_i}$, and let α_L be the unique element of $k^\times$ that satisfies

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \phi_{\varphi(L_i),D_{L_i}}(s_i) = \alpha_L \phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s_1 \cdots s_r)$$

for all $s_i \in H^0(U, \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L_i)})$ and all open $U \subseteq X$. For every $L \in \Lambda$, write $L = L^+ - L^-$ with $L^+, L^- \in \Lambda_+$, and define $\alpha_L := \alpha_{L^+}\alpha_{L^-}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$, where $\alpha \in k^\times$ is the unique constant that satisfies $\phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s)\phi_{\varphi(L^-),D_{L^-}}(s') = \alpha\phi_{\varphi(L^+),D_{L^+}}(ss')$ for all $s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L)})$, $s' \in H^0(U, \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(L^-)})$ and all open $U \subseteq X$. The constant α_L does not depend on the choice of L^+ and L^-. The morphisms $\psi_L := \alpha_L\phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}$ induce an isomorphism of Λ-graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras $\psi : \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}$.

The map $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to k(X)^\times$ defined by $\chi(E) := \psi_{-E}(1)$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$, is a character associated with $\mathcal{S}$ by Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathcal{I}'$ be the kernel of the projection $\mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{R}$. Then $\psi(\mathcal{I}')$ is the sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by χ. Thus ψ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$. □

Corollary 2.10. *There exists exactly one isomorphism class of Cox sheaves of X of type λ.*

2.3. **Back to Cox rings.** We are ready to show that every Cox ring arises as ring of global sections of a Cox sheaf of the same type.

Proposition 2.11. *Every Cox ring of X of type λ is isomorphic, as Cox ring of type λ, to the ring of global sections of a Cox sheaf of X of type λ.*

Proof. Let R be a Cox ring of X of type λ. For every $m \in M$, we denote by R_m the degree-m-part of R. Let $\phi_{m,D} : R_m \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$, for $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, be a family of isomorphisms associated with R as in Proposition 2.3.

Let Λ_0, Λ, φ and $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Construction 2.7. For every $L \in \Lambda$, let D_L be the Cartier divisor on X such that $\mathcal{S}_L = \mathcal{O}_X(D_L)$. Let Λ_{eff} be the subgroup of Λ generated by the elements L such that $\lambda(\varphi(L))$ is effective in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$. We observe that $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda_{\text{eff}}$. Since Λ is free and finitely generated, the same is true for Λ_{eff}. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\text{eff}}$ be a basis of Λ_{eff}, and let $\Lambda_+ \subseteq \Lambda_{\text{eff}}$ be the monoid generated by $\mathcal{B}_{\text{eff}}$. For every $L \in \Lambda_+$, write $L = \sum_{i=1}^r L_i$ with not necessarily distinct $L_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{eff}}$, and let α_L be the unique element of $k^\times$ that satisfies

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \phi_{\varphi(L_i),D_{L_i}}(s_i) = \alpha_L \phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s_1 \cdots s_r)$$

for all $s_i \in R_{\varphi(L_i)}$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. For every $L \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}$ such that $\lambda(\varphi(L))$ is effective in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$, write $L = L^+ - L^-$ with $L^+, L^- \in \Lambda_+$, and define $\alpha_L := \alpha_{L^+}\alpha_{L^-}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$, where $\alpha \in k^\times$ is the unique constant that satisfies

$$\phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s)\phi_{\varphi(L^-),D_{L^-}}(s') = \alpha\phi_{\varphi(L^+),D_{L^+}}(ss')$$

for all $s \in R_{\varphi(L)}$ and $s' \in R_{\varphi(L^-)}$. The constant α_L does not depend on the choice of L^+ and L^-. The isomorphisms $\psi_L := \alpha_L^{-1}\phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}^{-1}$ induce a surjective morphism of graded k-algebras $\psi : H^0(X,\mathcal{S}) \to R$.

The map $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to k(X)^\times$ defined by $\chi(E) := \psi_{-E}^{-1}(1)$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$ is a character associated with $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ associated with χ. Then $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ, $H^0(X,\mathcal{S})/H^0(X,\mathcal{I}) \cong H^0(X,\mathcal{R})$ by Construction 2.7 *(ii)*, and $H^0(X,\mathcal{I})$ is the kernel of ψ.

We show that the induced isomorphism $\psi : H^0(X,\mathcal{S})/H^0(X,\mathcal{I}) \to R$ is a morphism of Cox rings. The Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ is endowed with the family of isomorphisms $\{\phi'_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ induced by $\{\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L}^{-1}\}_{L\in\Lambda}$, where $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{R}$ is the projection. For every $m \in M$ such that $\lambda(m)$ is effective, let $L \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}$ such that $\varphi(L) = m$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\phi'_{m,D_L} = \pi|_{\mathcal{S}_L}^{-1}$. Hence,

$$\phi_{m,D_L} \circ \psi \circ (\phi'_{m,D_L})^{-1} = \alpha_L^{-1}\,\mathrm{id}_{H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D_L))}\,. \qquad \square$$

By definition, the degree-m-part of a Cox ring of X of type λ is nonzero if and only if $\lambda(m)$ is an effective class in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. But the degree-m-part of a Cox sheaf of X of type λ is always nonzero. Hence, we may expect that a Cox sheaf is not completely determined by its ring of global sections. The following example shows that Cox sheaves of different types, which are not isomorphic, may have rings of global sections that are isomorphic as k-algebras.

Example 2.12. A Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of identity type is the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded ring

$$k[x_0,x_1,y_0,y_1] = \bigoplus_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} k[x_0,x_1,y_0,y_1]_{(a,b)},$$

where x_0, x_1 have degree $(1,0)$ and y_0, y_1 have degree $(0,1)$, via the standard identification $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Let $\lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the morphism that sends a to $(a,-a)$. A Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of type λ is, by pullback (see Proposition 2.19),

$$\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} k[x_0,x_1,y_0,y_1]_{(a,-a)} = k,$$

which is the same, as k-algebra, as a Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of type $\{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^2$. A Cox sheaf of type λ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathscr{L}^{\otimes a}$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is an invertible sheaf of type $(1,-1)$, while a Cox sheaf of type $\{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}$.

Remark 2.13. The example above can be explained as follows. Let M_{eff} be the subgroup of M generated by the elements m such that $\lambda(m)$ is effective in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, and define $\lambda_{\mathrm{eff}} := \lambda|_{M_{\mathrm{eff}}} : M_{\mathrm{eff}} \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$. A Cox ring of X of type λ has also a structure of Cox ring of X of type λ', for all $\lambda' : M' \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that $M'_{\mathrm{eff}} = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$ and $\lambda'_{\mathrm{eff}} = \lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}$. The situation in the example above cannot occur if $\lambda(M)$ is generated by effective divisor classes. This is the case, for example, if $\lambda(M)$ contains an ample divisor class.

2.4. **Morphisms.** We recall that the morphisms of Cox sheaves of type λ are by definition just morphisms of graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras, while the morphisms of Cox rings are morphisms of graded k-algebras that are compatible with the map div. The following example shows that a k-algebra morphism respecting the grading is not necessarily a morphism of Cox rings of type λ.

Example 2.14. For $X := \mathbb{P}^1_k$, the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is free of rank 1, and $R \cong k[T_0,T_1]$ is a Cox ring of X of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X)}$, where the $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$-grading is the usual $\mathbb{Z}$-grading by the total degree, identifying effective divisor classes with nonnegative integers. Mapping T_0 and T_1 to arbitrary linearly independent linear

polynomials in T_0, T_1 defines an automorphism of $k[T_0, T_1]$ respecting the grading, but every Cox ring automorphism of R in the sense of Definition 2.1 is multiplication by a scalar in $k^\times$.

We observe that every morphism $R \to R'$ of Cox rings of type λ in the sense of Definition 2.1 (cf. Remark 2.4) is an isomorphism, because it restricts to an isomorphism $R_m \to R'_m$ for every $m \in M$, as it is compatible with the map div. The next proposition shows that the same holds for morphisms of Cox sheaves.

Proposition 2.15. *Every morphism of Cox sheaves of X of type λ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ be a morphism of Cox sheaves of X of type λ. Let $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ and $\{\phi'_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ be families of isomorphisms associated to $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$, respectively. For every $m \in M$, fix a Cartier divisor D_m such that $(m, D_m) \in M_\lambda$, and let $\alpha_m \in k[X]$ such that

$$\phi'_{m,D_m} \circ \psi|_{\mathcal{R}_m} \circ \phi^{-1}_{m,D_m} = \alpha_m \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X(D_m)}$$

(cf. Lemma 2.5). Let U be an open subset of X that trivializes all elements in $\lambda(M)$. Fix $m \in M$. For every $s^+ \in \mathcal{R}_m(U)$ and $s^- \in \mathcal{R}_{-m}(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta^{-1}\alpha_0\phi_{m,D_m}(s^+)\phi_{-m,D_{-m}}(s^-) = \phi'_{0,D_0}(\psi|_{\mathcal{R}_0}(s^+s^-))\\ &= \phi'_{0,D_0}(\psi|_{\mathcal{R}_m}(s^+)\psi|_{\mathcal{R}_-m}(s^-)) = (\beta')^{-1}\alpha_m\alpha_{-m}\phi_{m,D_m}(s^+)\phi_{-m,D_{-m}}(s^-),\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta, \beta' \in k^\times$ are the unique constants such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{m,D_m}(s^+)\phi_{-m,D_{-m}}(s^-) &= \beta\phi_{0,D_0}(s^+s^-),\\ \phi'_{m,D_m}(s^+)\phi'_{-m,D_{-m}}(s^-) &= \beta'\phi'_{0,D_0}(s^+s^-),\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then $\alpha_m\alpha_{-m} = \beta'\beta^{-1}\alpha_0$, as β and β' do not depend on the choice of s^+ and s^-, and the sections s^+ and s^- are arbitrary. Since ψ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras and both $\mathcal{R}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}'_0$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X$, we have $\psi(1) = 1$, and $\psi|_{\mathcal{R}_0} : \mathcal{R}_0 \to \mathcal{R}'_0$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Hence, $\alpha_0 \in k[X]^\times = k^\times$ by Lemma 2.5. □

As a consequence of Proposition 2.15 and Lemma 2.5, every morphism of Cox sheaves of X of type λ induces a morphism of Cox rings of X of type λ between the rings of global sections in the sense of Definition 2.1. Hence, for every λ, there exists exactly one isomorphism class of Cox rings of X of type λ by Corollary 2.10.

The next proposition shows that every morphism of Cox rings comes from a unique morphism between the underlying Cox sheaves, as long as $\lambda(M)$ is generated by effective divisor classes.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ be two Cox sheaves of X of type λ. If $M = M_{\text{eff}}$, then every morphism $\mathcal{R}(X) \to \mathcal{R}'(X)$ of Cox rings is induced by a unique morphism $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ of Cox sheaves.*

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathcal{R}(X) \to \mathcal{R}'(X)$ be a morphism of Cox rings of type λ. Let $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ and $\{\phi'_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ be families of isomorphisms associated to $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$, respectively. For every $(m_1, D_1), (m_2, D_2) \in M_\lambda$, let $\beta_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2}$ and $\beta'_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2}$ be the unique constants such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{m_1,D_1}(s_1)\phi_{m_2,D_2}(s_2) &= \beta_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2}\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s_1s_2)\\ \phi'_{m_1,D_1}(s'_1)\phi'_{m_2,D_2}(s'_2) &= \beta'_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2}\phi'_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2}(s'_1s'_2)\end{aligned}$$

for all $s_i \in \mathcal{R}_{m_i}(U)$ and $s'_i \in \mathcal{R}'_{m_i}(U)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and all open subsets U of X.

By definition of morphism of Cox rings of X of type λ (cf. Remark 2.4), for every $m \in M$ such that $\lambda(m)$ is effective, there is a constant $\alpha_{m,D} \in k^\times$ such that $\psi|_{\mathcal{R}(X)_m} = \alpha_{m,D}(\phi'_{m,D})^{-1} \circ \phi_{m,D} : \mathcal{R}(X)_m \to \mathcal{R}'(X)_m$. Moreover,

$$\alpha_{m_1+m_2,D_1+D_2} = \alpha_{m_1,D_1}\alpha_{m_2,D_2}\beta_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2}(\beta'_{m_1,m_2;D_1,D_2})^{-1}$$

for all $m_1, m_2 \in M$ as above, as ψ is compatible with the multiplication in $\mathcal{R}(X)$ and in $\mathcal{R}'(X)$.

For every $m \in M$, write $m = m_1 - m_2$ with $m_i \in M$ such that $\lambda(m_i)$ is effective, $i \in \{1,2\}$, choose Cartier divisors D_i such that $(m_i, D_i) \in M_\lambda$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and let $D := D_1 - D_2$. The isomorphisms

$$\psi_m := \alpha_{m_1,D_1}\alpha^{-1}_{m_2,D_2}\beta^{-1}_{m,m_2;D,D_2}\beta'_{m,m_2;D,D_2}{\phi'_{m,D}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{m,D}$$

define a morphism of Cox sheaves $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ that induces ψ at the level of global sections. □

We conclude this subsection by determining the group of automorphisms of Cox rings and Cox sheaves of type λ.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. For every $h \in \widehat{M}(k) = \mathrm{Hom}(M, k^\times)$, let $\psi_h : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ be the map defined as scalar multiplication by $h(m)$ on $\mathcal{R}_m$ for all $m \in M$. Then $h \mapsto \psi_h$ defines an isomorphism between $\widehat{M}(k)$ and the group of Cox sheaf automorphisms of $\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, a Cox sheaf automorphism ψ of $\mathcal{R}$ must be scalar multiplication by some $h_m \in k^\times$ on each homogeneous part $\mathcal{R}_m$. Moreover, $h_m h_{m'} = h_{m+m'}$ for all $m, m' \in M$ as ψ is compatible with the multiplication in $\mathcal{R}$. So, $m \mapsto h_m$ defines a group homomorphism $M \to k^\times$, and hence an element $h \in \widehat{M}(k)$ such that $\psi = \psi_h$. □

As a consequence of Propositions 2.15, 2.16 and 2.17, the group of Cox ring automorphisms of a Cox ring of X of type λ is isomorphic to $\widehat{M_{\mathrm{eff}}}(k)$.

2.5. **Relation to torsors.** The relation between Cox sheaves and torsors under quasitori is made precise by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.18. *If $\pi : Y \to X$ is an X-torsor under $\widehat{M}$ of type λ, then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. Conversely, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ, then the relative spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to X$ is an X-torsor under $\widehat{M}$ of type λ.*

Proof. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be an X-torsor under $\widehat{M}$ of type λ. Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an open covering of X that trivializes π, and let $(\beta_{i,j})_{i,j}$ with

$$\beta_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)^\times) \cong \widehat{M}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

be a cocycle representing $[Y] \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M})$. By definition of the `type` map (cf. §1.3), for every $m \in M$, there exists a Cartier divisor $D_m = \{(U_i, f_{i,m})\}_i$ such that $[D_m] = \lambda(m)$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $\beta_{i,j}(m) = f_{i,m}f_{j,m}^{-1}$. The isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-algebras

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}[M] \cong \bigoplus_{m \in M} f_{i,m}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$$

glue over the cocycle $(\beta_{i,j})_{i,j}$ to give an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\phi : \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y \to \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{O}_X(D_m).$$

Hence, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is an M-graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra. For all $m \in M$, denote by $(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y)_m$ the degree-m-part of $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$, and let ϕ_{m,D_m} be the isomorphism

$$\phi|_{(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y)_m} : (\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y)_m \to \mathcal{O}_X(D_m).$$

Let U be an open subset of X, and $s_1, s_2 \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ homogeneous of degree m_1, m_2, respectively. We observe that, for all i,

$$\phi(s_1 s_2)|_{U\cap U_i} = f_{i,m_1+m_2}^{-1} f_{i,m_1}\phi(s_1)|_{U\cap U_i} f_{i,m_2}\phi(s_2)|_{U\cap U_i},$$

where the product on the right is computed in $k(X)$. Since $f_{i,m_1+m_2}^{-1} f_{i,m_1} f_{i,m_2}$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)^\times$ for all i, and $f_{i,m_1+m_2}^{-1} f_{i,m_1} f_{i,m_2} = f_{j,m_1+m_2}^{-1} f_{j,m_1} f_{j,m_2}$ in $k(X)^\times$ for all i and j by restricting ϕ, s_1 and s_2 to $U \cap U_i \cap U_j$, the element $\alpha := f_{i,m_1+m_2} f_{i,m_1}^{-1} f_{i,m_2}^{-1}$ belongs to $k[X]^\times = k^\times$, and

$$\phi_{m_1,D_{m_1}}(s_1)\phi_{m_2,D_{m_2}}(s_2) = \alpha\phi_{m_1+m_2,D_{m_1+m_2}}(s_1 s_2),$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ homogeneous of degree m_1, m_2, respectively.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. The morphism $\pi : \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to X$ induced by $\mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ is surjective. For every open subset U of X that trivializes all elements of $\lambda(M)$, there are isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{R}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U[M] \cong \bigoplus_{m\in M} \mathcal{O}_U.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{R}$ is locally free as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module and locally finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra, and the morphism π is flat and of finite type. Moreover, $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ is locally isomorphic to $\widehat{M}_X \cong \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{O}_X[M]$ with the natural action of $\widehat{M}_X$ on itself. Hence, π is an X-torsor under $\widehat{M}$.

Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an open covering that trivializes all elements of $\lambda(M)$. Then it trivializes π. Let $(\beta_{i,j})_{i,j}$ with

$$\beta_{i,j} \in \operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)^\times)$$

be a cocycle representing the class of $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M}_X)$. By definition of the `type` map, the cocycle $(\beta_{i,j}(m))_{i,j}$ represents the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{R}_m$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ for all $m \in M$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of type λ, for every $m \in M$, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_m \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D_m)$, where D_m is a Cartier divisor such that $[D_m] = \lambda(m)$. Therefore, the cocycle $(\beta_{i,j}(m))_{i,j}$ represents $\lambda(m)$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$, and $\texttt{type}([\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}]) = \lambda$. □

As explained in [Sko01, p. 25], all torsors under quasitori can be obtained as pushforward of a universal torsor under the type homomorphism. The corresponding operation for Cox rings and Cox sheaves is the pullback, which is defined in the following proposition (cf. Subsection 3.6).

Proposition 2.19. *Let $\varphi : M' \to M$ be a morphism of finitely generated abelian groups. Assume that the characteristic of k does not divide the order of the torsion subgroup of M'. If $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf (ring) of X of type λ, then*

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{m\in M'} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)}$$

with the multiplication induced by $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf (ring, respectively) of X of type $\lambda \circ \varphi$.

Proof. Let

$$M'_\lambda := \{(m', D) \in M' \times \operatorname{CaDiv}(X) : [D] = \lambda(\varphi(m'))\}.$$

If $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ is a family of isomorphisms associated with the Cox sheaf (ring) $\mathcal{R}$, then the family of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{\varphi(m'),D}\}_{(m',D)\in M'_\lambda}$, together with the M'-grading, define a Cox sheaf (respectively, ring) structure on $\varphi^*\mathcal{R}$. □

3. Over nonclosed fields

In this section, we define Cox sheaves and Cox rings of a variety over an arbitrary field by Galois descent, we classify them up to isomorphism, we explain the relation with torsors under quasitori, and we discuss some existence criteria. Moreover, we study their functorial properties.

Let k be a field. We fix a separable closure $\overline{k}$ of k, with Galois group $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$, and every algebraic extension of k mentioned later is contained in $\overline{k}$. In this section, X always denotes a geometrically integral k-variety such that $X_{\overline{k}} := X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k} \mathrm{Spec}\, \overline{k}$ has only constant invertible global sections. Namely, $\overline{k}[X_{\overline{k}}]^\times = \overline{k}^\times$, where $\overline{k}[X_{\overline{k}}] := H^0(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}})$.

The action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\overline{k}$ induces an action on $A \otimes_k \overline{k}$ (with $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ acting via $\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mathrm{g}$) for every k-algebra A, and similarly on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \overline{k}$. The action of an element $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $X_{\overline{k}}$ is the one induced by the action of g^{-1} on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}$. For every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\mathrm{g}D$ the natural Galois action on a divisor $D \in \mathrm{CaDiv}(X_{\overline{k}})$, by $\mathrm{g}(f)$ the natural Galois action on an element $f \in \overline{k}(X)$. All these actions are *continuous* (with respect to the Krull topology on $\mathfrak{g}$ and the discrete topology on the other objects). We will denote by $\mathrm{g} * s$ an action of $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ on a section s of a Cox sheaf (or an element s of a Cox ring) of $X_{\overline{k}}$.

From here on, M denotes a $\mathfrak{g}$-module that is finitely generated as an abelian group, and whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k. That is, the characterstic of k does not divide the order of its torsion subgroup. Let $\lambda : M \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ be a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Let $\widehat{M} := \mathrm{Spec}\, \overline{k}[M]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the quasitorus dual to M under the antiequivalence of categories recalled in §1.3. Here $\overline{k}[M]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the subring of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements of $\overline{k}[M]$.

3.1. **Natural Galois actions.** To define Cox rings and Cox sheaves of X over a nonclosed field k by Galois descent, we need to specify what a compatible Galois action on a Cox ring or Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ is.

Definition 3.1. A continuous $\mathfrak{g}$-action on a Cox ring R of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ is called *natural* if $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{g} * s) = \mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{div}(s)$ for all nonzero homogeneous $s \in R$ and all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$. A $\mathfrak{g}$-*equivariant Cox ring of* $X_{\overline{k}}$ *of type* λ is a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ with a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action.

A continuous $\mathfrak{g}$-action on a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ is called *natural* if, given an associated family of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$, for every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, the automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$ defined by the action of g restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_m \to \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{g}m}$ such that $\mathrm{g}^{-1} \circ \phi_{\mathrm{g}m,\mathrm{g}D} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \phi_{m,D}^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)$. A $\mathfrak{g}$-*equivariant Cox sheaf of* $X_{\overline{k}}$ *of type* λ is a Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ with a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action.

A *morphism of* $\mathfrak{g}$-*equivariant Cox sheaves (rings) of* $X_{\overline{k}}$ *of type* λ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant morphism of Cox sheaves (respectively, rings) of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ.

Remark 3.2. Using the equivalent definition of Cox ring provided by Proposition 2.3, a continuous $\mathfrak{g}$-action on a Cox ring R of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ is *natural* if and only if, given an associated family of isomorphisms $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$, for every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, the automorphism of R defined by the action of g restricts to an isomorphism $R_m \to R_{\mathrm{g}m}$ such that $\mathrm{g}^{-1} \circ \phi_{\mathrm{g}m,\mathrm{g}D} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \phi_{m,D}^{-1} = \alpha\, \mathrm{id}_{H^0(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D))}$ with $\alpha \in \overline{k}^\times$.

A natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action on a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ induces a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action on the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{k}})$ of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ. The next proposition shows that the converse holds if $M = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$, where M_{eff} is the effective subgroup of M defined in Remark 2.13.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ. If $M = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$, then every natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is induced by a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is endowed with a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action. Let $\phi_{m,D} : \mathcal{R}_m \to \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)$, for $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, be a family of isomorphisms associated with $\mathcal{R}$. For every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$ such that $\lambda(m)$ is effective in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, let $\alpha_{\mathrm{g},m,D} \in \overline{k}^\times$ be the unique constant such that

$$\phi_{\mathrm{g}m,\mathrm{g}D} \circ \mathrm{g} = \alpha_{\mathrm{g},m,D}\mathrm{g} \circ \phi_{m,D}.$$

For an arbitrary $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, let $(m^+, D^+), (m^-, D^-) \in M_\lambda$ such that $m = m^+ - m^-$, $D = D^+ - D^-$, and $\lambda(m^+)$ and $\lambda(m^-)$ are both effective in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. We define $\alpha_{\mathrm{g},m,D} := \alpha_{\mathrm{g},m^+,D^+}\alpha^{-1}_{\mathrm{g},m^-,D^-}\beta\mathrm{g}(\gamma^{-1})$, where $\beta, \gamma \in \overline{k}^\times$ are the unique constants such that

$$\phi_{m,D}(s)\phi_{m^-,D^-}(s') = \gamma\phi_{m^+,D^+}(ss')$$

and

$$\phi_{\mathrm{g}m,\mathrm{g}D}(\mathrm{g} * s)\phi_{\mathrm{g}m^-,\mathrm{g}D^-}(\mathrm{g} * s') = \beta\phi_{\mathrm{g}m^+,\mathrm{g}D^+}(\mathrm{g} * (ss'))$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{k}})_m$ and $s' \in \mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{k}})_{m^-}$. The constant $\alpha_{\mathrm{g},m,D}$ do not depend on the choice of (m^+, D^+) and (m^-, D^-).

For every homogeneous section s of $\mathcal{R}$ and every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\mathrm{g}*s := \alpha_{\mathrm{g},m,D}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathrm{g}m,\mathrm{g}D} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \phi_{m,D})(s)$ for all $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$ such that s has degree m. This definition does not depend on the choice of the representative D for $\lambda(m)$ and induces a natural action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ in the sense of Definition 3.1. □

3.2. **Cox rings and Cox sheaves.** We are ready to define Cox rings and Cox sheaves of X by Galois descent.

Definition 3.4. A *Cox ring of X of type λ* is a k-algebra R together with a structure of Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ on $R_{\overline{k}} := R \otimes_k \overline{k}$ such that $R_{\overline{k}}$ with the induced action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$. A *Cox sheaf of X of type λ* is a sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras together with a structure of Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ on $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} := \mathcal{R} \otimes_k \overline{k}$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ with the induced action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$.

A *morphism of Cox rings of X of type λ* is a morphism of k-algebras $\psi : R \to R'$ such that $\psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\overline{k}} : R_{\overline{k}} \to R'_{\overline{k}}$ is a morphism of Cox rings of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ. A *morphism of Cox sheaves of X of type λ* is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras $\psi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ such that $\psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\overline{k}} : \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}'_{\overline{k}}$ is a morphism of Cox sheaves of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ.

The following proposition shows that the notions of Cox rings and Cox sheaves of X are equivalent to the notions of $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox rings and Cox sheaves of $X_{\overline{k}}$, respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *The covariant functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\textit{Cox rings of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathfrak{g}\textit{-equivariant Cox rings}\\ \textit{of } X_{\overline{k}} \textit{ of type } \lambda\end{array}\right\} \\ R & \longmapsto & R_{\overline{k}} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\textit{Cox sheaves of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathfrak{g}\textit{-equivariant Cox sheaves}\\ \textit{of } X_{\overline{k}} \textit{ of type } \lambda\end{array}\right\} \\ \mathcal{R} & \longmapsto & \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \end{array}$$

are equivalences of categories, with inverse functor $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, .)$.

Proof. Let R be a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, and $R^{\mathfrak{g}} := H^0(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ its subring of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements. Since the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on R is continuous, there is an isomorphism $R^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_k \overline{k} \cong R$ by [Mil12, Proposition 16.15]. Similarly, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, then the sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}(U) := H^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{R}(U_{\overline{k}}))$ for all open subsets U of X is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. Moreover, if $\psi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ is a morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaves of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, then $\psi(\mathcal{R}(U_{\overline{k}})^{\mathfrak{g}}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}'(U_{\overline{k}})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for every open subset U of X. Hence, ψ restricts to a unique morphism $\psi^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathcal{R}'^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Cox sheaves of X of type λ such that $\psi = \psi^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\overline{k}}$ under the identifications $\mathcal{R} \cong (\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}})_{\overline{k}}$ and $\mathcal{R}' \cong (\mathcal{R}'^{\mathfrak{g}})_{\overline{k}}$. □

The following corollary establishes the connection between Cox rings and Cox sheaves of varieties over arbitrary fields.

Corollary 3.6. *If $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ, then $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a Cox ring of X of type λ. Moreover, if $M = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$, the covariant functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\textit{Cox sheaves of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} & \longrightarrow & \{\textit{Cox rings of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} \\ \mathcal{R} & \longmapsto & \mathcal{R}(X) \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. By Definition 3.4, $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$. Hence, $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, and the induced $\mathfrak{g}$-action turns it into a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox ring of type λ. Then the subring of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}(X_{\overline{k}})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Cox ring of X of type λ by Proposition 3.5.

Assume now that $M = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$. The functor is essentially surjective by Propositions 2.11, 3.3 and 3.5. We prove that it is fully faithful. Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ be Cox sheaves of X of type λ. A morphism $\psi : \mathcal{R}(X) \to \mathcal{R}'(X)$ of Cox rings of X of type λ induces a morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox rings $\mathcal{R}(X)_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}'(X)_{\overline{k}}$ that extends to a unique isomorphism of Cox sheaves $\overline{\psi} : \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}'_{\overline{k}}$ by Propositions 2.16 and 2.15. Since $\mathrm{g}^{-1} \circ \overline{\psi}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \overline{\psi}$ is an automorphism on $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ that induces the identity on $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}(X_{\overline{k}})$ for every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$, by the uniqueness statement of Proposition 2.16, $\mathrm{g}^{-1} \circ \overline{\psi}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \overline{\psi}$ is the identity as well, which means that $\overline{\psi}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant, and hence restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}'$ inducing ψ. □

3.3. **Classification.** We apply descent theory (see [Ser02, §III.1] or [Mil12, §16]) to classify Cox rings and Cox sheaves of X up to isomorphism. Recall that by Corollary 2.10, every two Cox sheaves of X of type λ become isomorphic after the field extension $k \subseteq \overline{k}$. Moreover, if ψ is an isomorphism of Cox sheaves of type λ between two $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaves of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, then ${}^{\mathrm{g}}\psi := \mathrm{g} \circ \psi \circ \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ is another isomorphism for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$, and sending g to the automorphism $\psi^{-1} \circ {}^{\mathrm{g}}\psi$ defines a map $\mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{M}(\overline{k})$ by Proposition 2.17, which turns out to be a 1-cocycle.

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that X has a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of type λ. Sending a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}'$ of X of type λ with a Cox sheaf isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}'_{\overline{k}}$ to the class of the cocycle*

$$\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}) \cong \widehat{M}(\overline{k}), \quad \mathrm{g} \mapsto \psi^{-1} \circ {}^{\mathrm{g}}\psi$$

defines an bijective map from the set of isomorphism classes of Cox sheaves of X of type λ to $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, \widehat{M})$.

Proof. The class of the cocycle $\mathrm{g} \mapsto \psi^{-1} \circ {}^{\mathrm{g}}\psi$ does not depend on the choice of ψ. Moreover, if $\mathcal{R}'$, $\mathcal{R}''$ are two Cox sheaves of X of type λ, and $\psi' : \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}'_{\overline{k}}$, $\psi'' : \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}''_{\overline{k}}$ are two Cox sheaf isomorphisms, the associated cocycles have the

same class in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, \widehat{M})$ if and only if there is an isomorphism of Cox sheaves $\mathcal{R}' \to \mathcal{R}''$. Hence, the map in the statement is injective.

For surjectivity, note that a cocycle $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{M}(\overline{k})$ defines a twisted action

$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}, \qquad (\mathrm{g}, s) \mapsto (\psi_{\sigma_\mathrm{g}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes \mathrm{g}))(s),$$

where ψ_{σ_g} is the automorphism defined in Proposition 2.17. Since

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{M}(\overline{k})) = \varinjlim_{k'/k} H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k'/k), \widehat{M}(k')),$$

where the direct limit is taken over the finite Galois extensions k' of k inside $\overline{k}$, the twisted action defined by σ is continuous, and hence it is a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ according to Definition 3.1. By Proposition 3.5, the sheaf of invariants $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ such that $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \cong \mathcal{R}^\sigma \otimes_k \overline{k}$. The cocycle associated with this isomorphism is $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}} \circ \psi_{\sigma_\mathrm{g}} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}} \circ \mathrm{g}^{-1})_{\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}} = \sigma$. □

As a consequence of Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, the map in Proposition 3.7 defines a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of Cox rings of X of type λ and $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, \widehat{M_{\mathrm{eff}}})$.

The inverse map to the bijection in Proposition 3.7 is obtained by twisting a Cox sheaf of X of type λ by cocycles. Hence, we introduce the notion of twisted Cox sheaf.

Definition 3.8. For every Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of X of type λ and every cocycle $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{M}(\overline{k})$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$ the *twisted Cox sheaf* constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.7.

3.4. **Relation to torsors.** Now we explore the connection between Cox sheaves and torsors over nonclosed fields, and we prove that Cox sheaves and torsors of type λ are equivalent notions.

In Section 2 we proved that over a separably closed field the relative spectrum of a Cox sheaf is a torsors of the same type. In the next proposition, we prove the same correspondence over an arbitrary base field. More precisely, we show that the relative spectrum functor Spec_X sends Cox sheaves to torsors of the same type and is compatible with twisting.

Proposition 3.9. *If $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ, then $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ is an X-torsor of type λ. Moreover, for each cocycle $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{M}(\overline{k})$, the X-torsor $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}^\sigma$ has class $[\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}] - [\sigma]$ in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M}_X)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. Since $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$, the action of $\mathrm{Spec}\,\overline{k}[M]$ on $\mathrm{Spec}_{X_{\overline{k}}} \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ induced by the M-grading on $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ descends to an action of $\widehat{M} = \mathrm{Spec}\,\overline{k}[M]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$, and the canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to X$ is an X-torsor of type λ by Proposition 2.18 and *fpqc*-descent.

Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{M}(\overline{k})$ be a cocycle. By Proposition 3.7, the twisted Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$ corresponds to the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ of $X_{\overline{k}}$ with the twisted action of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by $(\mathrm{g}, s) \mapsto \sigma_\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{g}(s))$ under the bijection of Proposition 3.5. Thus, $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}^\sigma$ is obtained by Galois descent from $\mathrm{Spec}_{X_{\overline{k}}} \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ with the twisted $\mathfrak{g}$-action $(\mathrm{g}, x) \mapsto \sigma_\mathrm{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{g}(x))$. Therefore, $[\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}^\sigma] = [\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}] - [\sigma]$ in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M}_X)$; see [Sko01, Example 2, p. 21]. □

The next proposition shows that the functor Spec_X in the previous proposition is essentially surjective.

Proposition 3.10. *If $\pi : Y \to X$ is an X-torsor of type λ, then $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ, $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ is a Cox ring of X of type λ, and $\mathrm{Spec}_X \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y \cong Y$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be an X-torsor of type λ. Let $\overline{\pi} : Y_{\overline{k}} \to X_{\overline{k}}$ be the induced $X_{\overline{k}}$-torsor of type λ. Then $\overline{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\overline{k}}}$ is a Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ by Proposition 2.18. The induced $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\overline{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\overline{k}}}$ is a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action in the sense of Definition 3.1, as it is continuous and compatible with the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on M. Hence, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ according to Definition 3.4, and $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y) = (\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y)(X)$ is a Cox ring of X of type λ by Corollary 3.6. Moreover, π is affine by [MFK94, Proposition 0.7]. Hence, $\operatorname{Spec}_X \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong Y$. □

Corollary 3.11. *The contravariant functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\textit{Cox sheaves of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} &\longrightarrow \{X\textit{-torsors of type } \lambda\} \\ \mathcal{R} &\longmapsto \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories, with inverse functor

$$\begin{aligned} \{X\textit{-torsors of type } \lambda\} &\longrightarrow \{\textit{Cox sheaves of } X \textit{ of type } \lambda\} \\ \pi : Y \to X &\longmapsto \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Propositions 3.9 and 3.10, both functors are well-defined and essentially surjective. Moreover, the functor Spec_X is fully faithful. □

The combination of Corollary 3.6 with Corollary 3.11 proves Theorem 1.1.

3.5. **Existence.** Now we turn to existence criteria for Cox rings and Cox sheaves of varieties over nonclosed fields. We start with an example that shows that Cox rings of identity type do not always exist.

Example 3.12. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the conic defined by $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$, with $X(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$. The base change $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the image of the closed immersion

$$\psi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad (u : v) \mapsto (2uv : u^2 - v^2 : \mathrm{i}(u^2 + v^2)).$$

A Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})}$ is $\mathbb{C}[u, v]$, where $u, v \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ vanish in $(0 : 1), (1 : 0)$, respectively. If X has a Cox ring R of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\mathbb{C}})}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, then $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ is endowed with a natural action of $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{g}\}$. Via the isomorphism ψ, this action pulls back to an action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the Cox ring $\mathbb{C}[u, v]$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following properties. Since g exchanges $(0 : 1 : \mathrm{i}) = \psi((1 : 0))$ and $(0 : 1 : -\mathrm{i}) = \psi((0 : 1))$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\mathrm{g}(u) = \alpha v$. Then $\mathrm{g}^2(u) = u$ gives $\mathrm{g}(v) = \mathrm{g}(\alpha)^{-1}u$. Furthermore, g exchanges $(1 : 0 : \mathrm{i}) = \psi((1 : 1))$ and $(1 : 0 : -\mathrm{i}) = \psi((1 : -1))$, hence $\mathrm{g}(u - v) = \alpha v - \mathrm{g}(\alpha)^{-1}u$ should be a scalar multiple of $u + v$. This implies $\alpha = -\mathrm{g}(\alpha)^{-1}$, which is impossible for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Therefore, the conic X without $\mathbb{R}$-rational points does not have a Cox ring of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\mathbb{C}})}$.

The next proposition relates the existence of Cox sheaves to the existence of $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant characters in Construction 2.7.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M$ be a finite $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant set of generators for M, and $\mathcal{D}$ a finite $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant set of Cartier divisors such that $\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \{[D] : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda := \{(m, D) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{D} : [D] = \lambda(m)\}$$

with the componentwise action of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\Lambda := \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} \mathbb{Z}L$ with the induced $\mathfrak{g}$-action. Let $\varphi : \Lambda \to M$ be defined by $\varphi((m, D)) := m$ for all $(m, D) \in \Lambda$, and $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{(m,D)\in\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)$ the associated $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}$-algebra as in Construction 2.7. Then a Cox sheaf of X of type λ exists if and only if there is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant character associated with $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Let Λ_0 be the kernel of φ, and let $\mathcal{S}_{(m,D)} := \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)$ for all $(m,D) \in \Lambda$. Given a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant character $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ associated with $\mathcal{S}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by χ is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{S}$ induced by the natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, and the sheaf of invariants $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ.

Assume now that there is a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of X of type λ. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ. By Proposition 2.9, we can assume that $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} = \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by a character $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ associated with $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ be the projection.

Let $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ be a family of isomorphisms associated with $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\phi_{m,D} = \pi|^{-1}_{\mathcal{S}_{(m,D)}}$ for all $(m,D) \in \Lambda$. By Definition 3.1 and Lemma 2.5, for every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and every $(m,D) \in \Lambda$, there exists a constant $\alpha_{\mathrm{g},(m,D)} \in \overline{k}^\times$ such that

$$(\pi|^{-1}_{\mathcal{S}_{(\mathrm{g}m,\mathrm{g}D)}} \circ \mathrm{g} \circ \pi|_{\mathcal{S}_{(m,D)}})(s) = \alpha_{\mathrm{g},(m,D)}\mathrm{g}(s)$$

for all sections s of $\mathcal{S}_{(m,D)} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)$. These constants satisfy

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{g},L+L'} = \alpha_{\mathrm{g},L}\alpha_{\mathrm{g},L'} \qquad \alpha_{\mathrm{g}\mathrm{g}',L} = \alpha_{\mathrm{g},\mathrm{g}'(L)}\mathrm{g}(\alpha_{\mathrm{g}',L})$$

for all $L, L' \in \Lambda$ and all $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}' \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $\chi(\mathrm{g}(E)) = \alpha_{\mathrm{g},-E}\mathrm{g}(\chi(E))$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$.

Consider $(\overline{k}^\times)^{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$ with the induced $\mathfrak{g}$-action, that is,

$$\mathrm{g} * (\beta_L)_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda} := (\mathrm{g}(\beta_{\mathrm{g}^{-1}(L)}))_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $(\beta_L)_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda} \in (\overline{k}^\times)^{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$. The map $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to (\overline{k}^\times)^{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$ defined by $\alpha(\mathrm{g}) := (\alpha_{\mathrm{g},\mathrm{g}^{-1}(L)})_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$ is a cocycle. By [Gro03, §V.7, Proposition V.8.1], there is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite sets with a continuous $\mathfrak{g}$-action and the category of finite étale k-algebras. Let K be a finite étale k-algebra corresponding to $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$. Then $(\overline{k}^\times)^{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$ with the $\mathfrak{g}$-action recalled above is the set of $\overline{k}$-rational points of the Weil restriction $\mathrm{Res}_{K/k}\,\mathbb{G}_m$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90 and the Lemma of Eckmann–Faddeev–Shapiro [KMRT98, Theorem 29.2 and Lemma 29.6],

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, (\overline{k}^\times)^{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}) \cong H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, \mathrm{Res}_{K/k}\,\mathbb{G}_m) \cong H^1_{\acute{e}t}(K, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0.$$

Therefore, there is $\beta = (\beta_L)_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda \times \overline{k}^\times$ such that $\alpha(\mathrm{g}) = \beta^{-1}\mathrm{g} * \beta$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$. That is, $\alpha_{\mathrm{g},L} = \beta^{-1}_{\mathrm{g}(L)}\mathrm{g}(\beta_L)$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $L \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$. Given $L' \in \Lambda$, write $L' = \sum_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda} a_L L$ with $a_L \in \mathbb{Z}$, and define

$$\beta_{L'} := \prod_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda} \beta_L^{a_L}.$$

The homomorphism $\chi' : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ that sends $E \in \Lambda_0$ to $\beta_E^{-1}\chi(E)$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant and satisfies $\mathrm{div}_0(\chi'((0,D))) = D$ for all $(0,D) \in \Lambda_0$. □

From [CTS87, Proposition 2.2.8], we know that the existence of universal torsors (that is, torsors of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$) for a *smooth* variety X over a nonclosed field k is equivalent to the existence of a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of the exact sequence

$$1 \to \overline{k}^\times \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times/\overline{k}^\times \to 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, if U is a nonempty open subset of X, and M is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(U_{\overline{k}})$, the existence of torsors of injective type $M \subseteq \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is equivalent to the existence of a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of the exact sequence

$$1 \to \overline{k}^\times \to \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times \to \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times/\overline{k}^\times \to 1. \tag{3.2}$$

As a consequence of Corollaries 3.6 and 3.11, this is also equivalent to the existence of Cox sheaves (and Cox rings if $M = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$) of X of the same type.

In our more general setting, where X can be singular, we show how to construct a Cox sheaf of X of type λ starting from $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splittings of such exact sequences. Then Proposition 3.9 gives a torsor of X of type λ in this setting, generalizing the results of [CTS87] mentioned above.

Construction 3.14. *Let $U \subseteq X$ be a nonempty open subset such that $\lambda(M)$ is contained in the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(U_{\overline{k}})$. Assume that* (3.2) *admits a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting $\sigma : \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times \to \overline{k}^\times$. For every $m \in M$, let D_m be a Cartier divisor supported on $X_{\overline{k}} \smallsetminus U_{\overline{k}}$ representing the class $\lambda(m)$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, and let $\mathcal{R}_m := \mathcal{O}_X(D_m)$. Endow $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{m\in M} \mathcal{R}_m$ with the following multiplication of sections induced by σ. For every open subset V of $X_{\overline{k}}$ and homogeneous sections $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{R}(V)$ of degree $m_1, m_2 \in M$, respectively, let $f \in \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times$ be the unique element such that $D_{m_1} + D_{m_2} = \mathrm{div}_{D_{m_1+m_2}}(f)$ and $\sigma(f) = 1$. Define $s_1 s_2 := f s_1 s_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{m_1+m_2}(V)$, where the product on the right is computed in $\overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ that is equivariant with respect to the following action of $\mathfrak{g}$. For every $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$, let $f \in \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ be the unique element such that $\mathrm{g}D_m = \mathrm{div}_{D_{\mathrm{g}m}}(f)$ and $\sigma(f) = 1$. Define $\mathrm{g} * s := f\mathrm{g}(s) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{g}m}$ for all sections $s \in \mathcal{R}_m$, where the product on the right is computed in $\overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})$. The sheaf of invariants $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is then a Cox sheaf of X of type λ by Proposition 3.5, and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is a Cox ring of X of type λ by Corollary 3.6.*

Remark 3.15. We note that a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of (3.1) induces a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of (3.2) for all nonempty open subsets U of X. Hence, a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of (3.1) ensures the existence of Cox rings and Cox sheaves of X of every type.

Another way to produce Cox rings and Cox sheaves of varieties over nonclosed fields is by pulling back a given one.

Remark 3.16. If X has a Cox sheaf of type λ and $\varphi : M' \to M$ is a morphism of finitely generated $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, then the pullback

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{m\in M'} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)},$$

of a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ under φ defined in Proposition 2.19 inherits from $\mathcal{R}$ an action of $\mathfrak{g}$ that turns it into a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Cox sheaf. Hence, Cox sheaves of X of type $\lambda \circ \varphi$ exist by Proposition 3.5. In particular, if X has a Cox sheaf of injective type $M'' \subseteq \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, where M'' is a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ that contains $\lambda(M)$, then Cox sheaves of X of type λ exist. Therefore, if X has a Cox sheaf of identity type, then Cox sheaves of X of all types exist.

We show now that a splitting of (3.2) is equivalent to the existence of certain Cox sheaves of X of injective type, at least if $X_{\overline{k}}$ is locally factorial (cf. [CTS87, Proposition 2.2.8 (v)]).

Proposition 3.17. *If $X_{\overline{k}}$ is locally factorial, and X has a Cox sheaf of injective type λ, then the natural exact sequence* (3.2) *has a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting for every nonempty open subset $U \subseteq X$ such that $\lambda(M)$ is the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(U_{\overline{k}})$. If in addition k is perfect and $\lambda = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$, also the exact sequence* (3.1) *admits a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X$ be as in the statement. Then the group Λ of Cartier divisors on $X_{\overline{k}}$ supported outside $U_{\overline{k}}$ is free, finitely generated and has a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant basis (consisting of the prime divisors supported outside $U_{\overline{k}}$). The group $\overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times/\overline{k}^\times$ is

naturally identified with the subgroup $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$ of principal divisors. By Proposition 3.13, there exists a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant character $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ associated with $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{D\in\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Since every element of Λ_0 is supported outside $U_{\overline{k}}$, the image of χ is contained in $\overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times$. Hence, χ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of the exact sequence (3.2) associated with U.

Assume now that k is perfect and that $\lambda = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$. Let X' be the smooth locus of $X_{\overline{k}}$ and $U' := U_{\overline{k}} \cap X'$. Since $X_{\overline{k}}$ is normal, its singular locus has codimension ≥ 2. Hence, $\overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}}) = \overline{k}(X')$ and $\overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}] = \overline{k}[U']$. Then, according to [CTS87, Proposition 2.2.8], the exact sequence (3.1) admits a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting whenever (3.2) does. □

Every rational point $x \in U(k)$ defines a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting $\sigma_x : \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times \to \overline{k}^\times$ of (3.2) by $\sigma_x(f) := f(x)$ for all $f \in \overline{k}[U_{\overline{k}}]^\times$. Moreover, every smooth k-rational point on X defines a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant splitting of (3.1) by [CTS87, Remarque 2.2.3]. Therefore, if X has a smooth k-rational point, Cox sheaves and Cox rings of X of every type exist by Construction 3.14. If $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, the same result can be obtained combining [CTS77, Proposition 1] with Proposition 3.10.

The existence of a k-rational point on X allows us to construct explicitly a Cox sheaf of X of type λ as follows (cf. [ADHL15, Construction 1.4.2.3]).

Construction 3.18. *Let $x \in X(k)$. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M$ be a finite $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant set of generators for M, and $\mathcal{D}$ a finite $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant set of Cartier divisors of $X_{\overline{k}}$ supported outside x such that $\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \{[D] : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda := \{(m, D) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{D} : [D] = \lambda(m)\}$$

with the componentwise action of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\Lambda := \bigoplus_{L\in\mathcal{M}_\lambda} \mathbb{Z}L$ with the induced $\mathfrak{g}$-action. Let $\varphi : \Lambda \to M$ be defined by $\varphi((m, D)) := m$ for all $(m, D) \in \Lambda$, and $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{(m,D)\in\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}(D)$ the associated $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{k}}}$-algebra as in Construction 2.7. Let Λ_0 be the kernel of φ. The morphism $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ that sends $(0, D) \in \Lambda_0$ to the unique element $f \in \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ such that $\mathrm{div}_0(f) = D$ and $f(x) = 1$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant character associated with $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by χ as in Construction 2.7. Then the Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant by Proposition 3.13. Let $\pi : \mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}} \to X$ be the induced torsor of type λ. Then $x \in \pi((\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{g}})(k))$.

Proof. The set of Cartier divisors of $X_{\overline{k}}$ that do not contain x in their support form a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant group that generates $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Indeed, if $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ is a Cartier divisor on $X_{\overline{k}}$ that contains x in its support, take j such that $x \in U_j$. Then the divisor $\mathrm{div}_D(f_j^{-1})$ is linearly equivalent to D and is supported outside x. Therefore, it is always possible to choose a set $\mathcal{D}$ as in the statement. The character χ defined by x as above is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant because x is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant.

Let U be an affine open neighborhood of x in X. Then the homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{S}(U_{\overline{k}}) \to \overline{k}$ defined by $\psi(s) := s(x)$ for all homogeneous sections $s \in \mathcal{S}(U_{\overline{k}})$ is well-defined because D is supported outside x for all $(m, D) \in \Lambda$, and $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant because x is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant. Since $\chi(E)(x) = 1$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$, the homomorphism ψ factors through $\mathcal{R}(U_{\overline{k}})$ and defines a k-rational point on $\pi^{-1}(x)$ by Galois descent. □

Remark 3.19. If X is a smooth complete toric variety containing an open torus U, then we can apply Construction 3.18 with $M := \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, $\lambda := \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$, x the neutral element of U, $\mathcal{D}$ the set of U-invariant divisors on $X_{\overline{k}}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ the set of their classes. The resulting Cox sheaf corresponds to *the principal universal torsor* described in [CTS87, §2.4.4] and [Sal98, end of §8].

We observe that if λ factors through $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, a Cox ring of X of type λ always exists, even if $X(k) = \emptyset$. The following example shows that the existence of a k-rational point on X is not necessary for the existence of Cox rings of X of arbitrary type. See [CTS87, Exemples 2.2.12] for further examples.

Example 3.20. Let k be an arbitrary number field. Let X be the smooth projective fourfold over k in [Sme15, Theorem 3.5]. Then $X(k) = \emptyset$ and there is no étale (and hence, no algebraic) Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle. Moreover, $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is a finitely generated abelian group, as the Albanese variety of X is trivial. Therefore, X has a universal torsor by [Sko01, Corollary 6.1.3], and Cox rings and Cox sheaves of X of all types exist by Proposition 3.10 and Remark 3.16.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For the statements regarding Cox sheaves, see Proposition 3.13, Construction 3.14, Remark 3.15, Proposition 3.17 and Construction 3.18. For the statements regarding torsors and Cox rings, we combine these with Theorem 1.1. □

3.6. **Functoriality.** We discuss now the functorial properties of Cox rings and Cox sheaves of varieties over an arbitrary field. We refer to [CTS87] and [BH03] for the analogous properties of torsors under quasitori and of Cox rings of identity type, respectively.

By [CTS87, Proposition 1.5.2], the exact sequence (1.3) is functorial in X and G, covariant in G and contravariant in X. Therefore, if we denote by M the $\mathfrak{g}$-module of characters $\widehat{G_{\overline{k}}}$ dual to G, the exact sequence (1.3) is functorial in X and M, contravariant with respect to both.

We consider first the functoriality with respect to morphisms of quasitori $G \to G'$, or equivalently, with respect to the induced morphisms of dual $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\varphi : M' \to M$. If $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type $\lambda : M \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, the pullback $\varphi^*\mathcal{R} := (\varphi^*\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined in Remark 3.16 is endowed with a natural morphism

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R} \tag{3.3}$$

of graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras compatible with φ, obtained by Galois descent from the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant morphism $\varphi^*\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ that restricts to the identity $(\varphi^*\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}})_m = (\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}})_{\varphi(m)}$ for all $m \in M'$. Moreover, each morphism $\psi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ of Cox sheaves of X of type λ pulls back under φ to a morphism $\varphi^*(\psi) : \varphi^*\mathcal{R} \to \varphi^*\mathcal{R}'$ of Cox sheaves of X of type $\lambda \circ \varphi$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi^*\mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*(\psi)} & \varphi^*\mathcal{R}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{R}'. \end{array}$$

We show that the pullback of Cox sheaves under φ corresponds to the pushforward of torsors defined in [Sko01, Example 3, p. 21] under the dual morphism of quasitori $\widehat{\varphi} : G \to G'$:

Proposition 3.21. *Let $\varphi : M' \to M$ be a morphism of finitely generated $\mathfrak{g}$-modules whose torsion is coprime to the characteristic of k. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of type $\lambda : M \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Then $\widehat{\varphi}_* \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \cong \operatorname{Spec}_X \varphi^*\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an affine étale covering of X that trivializes $\mathcal{R}$. Let $U_{i,j} := U_i \times_X U_j$, and let

$$\beta_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(M, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i,j,\overline{k}}}(U_{i,j,\overline{k}})^\times) \cong \widehat{M}(U_{i,j})$$

be a cocycle representing $[\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}] \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M}_X)$. Then the class $\widehat{\varphi}_*[(\beta_{i,j})_{i,j}]$ of $\widehat{\varphi}_* \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M'}_X)$ is represented by the cocycle $(\beta_{i,j} \circ \varphi)_{i,j}$.

By definition, the torsor $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ is obtained by gluing

$$\{(\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}) \times_X U_i \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{U_i} \mathcal{O}_{U_{i,\overline{k}}}[M]^{\mathfrak{g}}\}_i$$

over the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_{j,i,\overline{k}}}[M] \to \mathcal{O}_{U_{i,j,\overline{k}}}[M], \qquad m \mapsto \beta_{i,j}(m)m, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

By definition of pullback, $\operatorname{Spec}_X \varphi^*\mathcal{R}$ is obtained by gluing

$$\{(\operatorname{Spec}_X \varphi^*\mathcal{R}) \times_X U_i \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{U_i} \mathcal{O}_{U_{i,\overline{k}}}[M']^{\mathfrak{g}}\}_i$$

over the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_{j,i,\overline{k}}}[M'] \to \mathcal{O}_{U_{i,j,\overline{k}}}[M'], \qquad m \mapsto \beta_{i,j}(\varphi(m))m, \quad \forall m \in M'.$$

Hence, the isomorphism class of $\operatorname{Spec}_X \varphi^*\mathcal{R}$ in $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \widehat{M'}_X)$ is represented by the cocycle $(\beta_{i,j} \circ \varphi)_{i,j}$. □

We consider now the functoriality with respect to morphisms of k-varieties. Let $p : X' \to X$ be a morphism of k-varieties and $\mathcal{R}$ a Cox sheaf of X of type $\lambda : M \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. We denote by $p^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X'_{\overline{k}})$ the pullback of divisor classes under p. We recall that the property of being a torsor over X under G is stable under base extension. Therefore, $\operatorname{Spec}_{X'} p^*\mathcal{R} \cong X' \times_X \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ is an X'-torsor under $\widehat{M}$.

Proposition 3.22. *Let $p : X' \to X$ be a morphism of k-varieties, $p^* : \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X'_{\overline{k}})$ the induced pullback of divisor classes, and $\mathcal{R}$ a Cox sheaf of X of type $\lambda : M \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Then, $p^*\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X' of type $p^* \circ \lambda : M \to \operatorname{Pic}(X'_{\overline{k}})$.*

Proof. If $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ is a family of isomorphisms associated with the Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$, then the family of isomorphisms

$$p^*(\phi_{m,D}) : p^*\mathcal{R}_m \to p^*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(D),$$

for $(m, D) \in M_\lambda$, defines a structure of Cox sheaf of X' of type $p^* \circ \lambda$ on $p^*\mathcal{R}$, as p^* commutes with direct limits. □

We summarize the results of this subsection in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.23. *Let $p : X' \to X$ be a morphism of k-varieties, and $\varphi : M' \to M$ a morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.*

(1) If $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type $\lambda : M \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, then $p^*\varphi^*\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X' of type

$$p^* \circ \lambda \circ \varphi : M' \to \operatorname{Pic}(X'_{\overline{k}}).$$

(2) If $\psi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ is a morphism of Cox sheaves of X of type λ, then

$$p^*(\varphi^*(\psi)) : p^*\varphi^*\mathcal{R} \to p^*\varphi^*\mathcal{R}'$$

is a morphism of Cox sheaves of X' of type $p^* \circ \lambda \circ \varphi$.

(3) The double pullback $p^* \circ \varphi^*$ is a covariant functor from the category of Cox sheaves of X of type λ to the category of Cox sheaves of X' of type $p^* \circ \lambda \circ \varphi$.

(4) There is an isomorphism of functors between $p^* \circ \varphi^*$ and $\varphi^* \circ p^*$.

Proof. Parts *(1)* and *(2)* are a consequence of Proposition 3.22 and the discussion above.

For *(3)*, we observe that φ^* and p^* are both covariant functors. For *(4)*, we observe that $p^*\varphi^*\mathcal{R} = \varphi^*p^*\mathcal{R}$ since p^* commutes with direct limits, and hence, also $p^*(\varphi^*(\psi)) = \varphi^*(p^*(\psi))$, as $\varphi^*(\psi)$ and $\varphi^*(p^*(\psi))$ restrict to ψ and $p^*(\psi)$, respectively, on the homogeneous parts of the Cox sheaves. □

The analogous functoriality properties of Cox rings can be deduced from Corollary 3.23 via Corollary 3.6.

Remark 3.24. As in Corollary 3.23, let $p : X' \to X$ be a morphism of k-varieties, assume that X' has a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}'$ of identity type, and let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Cox sheaf of X of type λ. Up to twisting $\mathcal{R}'$ by an element of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, \widehat{M})$ we can assume that $p^*\mathcal{R} \cong (p^* \circ \lambda)^*\mathcal{R}'$. Then there exists a natural morphism of graded $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-algebras $p^*\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ as in (3.3), and also a morphism of graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras $\mathcal{R} \to p_*\mathcal{R}'$, as p^* and p_* are adjoint functors. This gives a new proof of the existence statement in [BH03, Proposition 5.3] by considering $k = \overline{k}$ and $\lambda = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X)}$. We observe that in general $p_*\mathcal{R}'$ is not a Cox sheaf of X.

4. Finitely generated Cox rings

This section is devoted to investigating some properties of Cox rings that are finitely generated as k-algebras. In particular, we show that torsors corresponding to finitely generated Cox rings are quasi-affine and have an explicit description in terms of the Cox rings, and that finite generation is preserved under pullback.

4.1. **Embedded torsors.** From [ADHL15, Construction 1.6.3.1] (see also [BH03, Proposition 3.10]), we know that, given a variety X over an algebraically closed field k with a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of identity type such that $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a finitely generated k-algebra, the universal torsor $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ embeds into $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}(X)$ as an open subset, under some assumptions on X that are satisfied, for example, if X is locally factorial or projective. The next proposition gives an analogous result for finitely generated Cox rings and torsors of arbitrary type. We first describe the action on a torsor of type λ induced by the grading on the associated Cox sheaf.

We recall from Proposition 3.9 that, given a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of X of type λ, the relative spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ is an X-torsor under the action of $\widehat{M}$ on $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$ induced by the M-grading on $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$. In particular, $\widehat{M} = \mathrm{Spec}\,\overline{k}[M]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the action induced by the M-grading is determined by the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant $\mathrm{Spec}\,\overline{k}[M]$-action on $\mathrm{Spec}_{X_{\overline{k}}} \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}(U) \to \overline{k}[M] \otimes_{\overline{k}} \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}(U), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \otimes s_i$$

for all open subsets U of $X_{\overline{k}}$ and all homogeneous sections $s_i \in \mathcal{R}_{\overline{k}}(U)_{m_i}$. We observe that this defines also an action of $\widehat{M}$ on $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}(X)$, and that the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}(X)$ is $\widehat{M}$-equivariant.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $k = \overline{k}$, that $\mathcal{R}$ is a Cox sheaf of X of type λ such that $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is finitely generated as a k-algebra, and that there are nonzero homogeneous sections $f_1, \dots, f_t \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ of degrees $m_1, \dots, m_t \in M$, respectively, such that the open subsets $X \smallsetminus \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{div}_{D_i}(\phi_{m_i,D_i}(f_i))$ are affine and cover X. Then the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a $\widehat{M}$-equivariant open immersion, and the complement of the image is defined by the ideal $\sqrt{(f_1, \dots, f_t)}$ of $\mathcal{R}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to X$ be the morphism induced by $\mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. The open subsets $\pi^{-1}(X \smallsetminus \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{div}_{D_i}(\phi_{m_i,D_i}(f_i))))$ are affine and cover $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(X \smallsetminus \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{div}_{D_i}(\phi_{m_i,D_i}(f_i)))) = \mathcal{R}(X)[f_i^{-1}]$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Hence, $\mathrm{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}(X)$ is an open immersion whose image is the union of the principal open subsets of $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}(X)$ defined by f_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. □

Remark 4.2. The assumption of Proposition 4.1 on the affine open covering is equivalent to the requirement that there are effective Cartier divisors $D_1, \dots, D_t$

on X such that $[D_i] \in \lambda(M)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and such that the open subsets $X \smallsetminus \operatorname{Supp}(D_i)$ are affine and cover X. If $\lambda(M) = \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, this is the definition of divisorial variety [Bor63, §3]. Among those there are quasi-projective varieties and locally factorial varieties [Bor63, §4].

If $\lambda(M)$ contains the class of an ample invertible sheaf on X, then the hypothesis of Proposition 4.1 on the affine open covering is satisfied, and the open immersion $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R}(X)$ can be characterized as follows (cf. [ADHL15, Corollary 1.6.3.6]).

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that $k = \overline{k}$, that X is projective and has a Cox sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ of type λ such that $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a finitely generated k-algebra, and there is $m \in M$ such that $\lambda(m)$ is very ample. Then $\operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{R} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R}(X)$ is a $\widehat{M}$-equivariant open immersion and the complement of the image is defined by the ideal $\sqrt{\langle \mathcal{R}(X)_m \rangle}$ of $\mathcal{R}(X)$, where $\langle \mathcal{R}(X)_m \rangle$ is the ideal generated by the degree-m-part of $\mathcal{R}(X)$.*

If the Cox sheaf is defined over a nonclosed field k, the open immersions in Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.3 are $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant, and hence, defined over k.

4.2. **Generators and relations.** The following proposition and the remark below explain how to realize a finitely generated Cox ring as a quotient of a polynomial ring. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M = M_{\mathrm{eff}}$ (cf. Remark 2.13).

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $k = \overline{k}$. Let $m_1, \dots, m_N \in M$ be generators for M_{eff}. Let $\Lambda := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z} m_i$, and let Λ_0 be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\varphi : \Lambda \to M$. For $1 \le i \le N$, let D_i be a Cartier divisor representing the class $\lambda(m_i)$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$, and for every $L = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i m_i$ of Λ, let $D_L := \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_i$. Let $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to k(X)^\times$ be a character associated with the $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{L \in \Lambda} \mathcal{O}_X(D_L)$.*

Endow $S := k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]$ with the M-grading induced by assigning degree m_i to η_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. For every $L \in \Lambda$, let

$$\phi_L : S_{\varphi(L)} \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_L))$$

be the linear map that sends $\eta_1^{a_1} \cdots \eta_N^{a_N}$ to $\chi(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i m_i - L)$ for all $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^N$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i m_i = \varphi(L)$ in M. Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in S$ be homogeneous elements such that, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, if g_i has degree $\varphi(L_i)$ with $L_i \in \Lambda$, then $\phi_{L_i}(g_i) = 0$.

Then $R := S/(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ is a Cox ring of X of type λ if and only if the linear map

$$\phi_{\varphi(L), D_L} : R_{\varphi(L)} \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_L))$$

induced by ϕ_L is an isomorphism for all $L \in \Lambda$.

Conversely, if R is a finitely generated Cox ring of X of type λ, then there are generators $m_1, \dots, m_N$ of M_{eff}, a character χ and polynomials $g_1, \dots, g_s \in k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]$ as above such that $R \cong k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]/(g_1, \dots, g_s)$.

Proof. For the first statement, we notice that for all $L_1, L_2 \in \Lambda$,

$$\phi_{L_1}(s_1)\phi_{L_2}(s_2) = \phi_{L_1 + L_2}(s_1 s_2)$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ homogeneous of degrees $\varphi(L_1)$ and $\varphi(L_2)$, respectively, because χ is a group homomorphism.

Conversely, assume that R is a finitely generated Cox ring of X of type λ, and let $s_1, \dots, s_N$ be a finite set of homogeneous elements that generate R. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let m_i be the degree of s_i. Sending $\eta_i \mapsto s_i$ defines a surjective homomorphism $\pi : k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N] \to R$ of M-graded rings, where the grading on $S := k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]$ is defined by assigning degree m_i to η_i for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Since S is noetherian, the kernel of π is generated by finitely many homogeneous elements $g_1, \dots, g_s$.

Since $s_1, \dots, s_N$ generate R, the elements $m_1, \dots, m_N$ generate M_{eff}. Let $\Lambda := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z} m_i$, and let Λ_0 be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\varphi : \Lambda \to M$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $D_i := \mathrm{div}(s_i)$, and, for every $L = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i m_i$ in Λ, let $D_L := \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_i$.

Let $\{\phi_{m,D}\}_{(m,D)\in M_\lambda}$ be a family of isomorphisms associated with R as in Proposition 2.3. Let $\Lambda_+ := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} m_i$. For every $L = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i m_i \in \Lambda_+$, let $\alpha_L := \phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s_1^{a_1} \cdots s_N^{a_N})$. For every $L \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda(\varphi(L))$ is an effective class, write $L = L^+ - L^-$ with $L^+, L^- \in \Lambda_+$, and define $\alpha_L := \alpha_{L^+} \alpha_{L^-}^{-1} \alpha$, where $\alpha \in k^\times$ is the unique constant such that $\phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s)\phi_{\varphi(L^-),D_{L^-}}(s') = \alpha \phi_{\varphi(L^+),D_{L^+}}(ss')$ for all $s \in R_{\varphi(L)}$ and $s' \in R_{\varphi(L^-)}$. The constant α_L does not depend on the choice of L^+ and L^-. If $\lambda(\varphi(L))$ is not effective, take $\alpha_L := 1$.

For every $L \in \Lambda$, let $\phi_L : S_{\varphi(L)} \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_L))$ be the linear map that sends $\eta_1^{a_1} \cdots \eta_N^{a_N}$ to $\alpha_L^{-1} \phi_{\varphi(L),D_L}(s_1^{a_1} \cdots s_N^{a_N})$ for all $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i m_i = \varphi(L)$ in M. The morphisms ϕ_L satisfy

$$\phi_L(s)\phi_{L'}(s') = \phi_{L+L'}(ss')$$

for all $s, s' \in S$ homogeneous of degrees $\varphi(L)$ and $\varphi(L')$, respectively, for all $L, L' \in \Lambda$. Then, the map $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to k(X)^\times$ defined by $\chi(E) := \phi_{-E}(1)$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$, is a character associated with $\bigoplus_{L\in\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_X(D_L)$ that defines on the k-algebra $k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]/(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ a structure of Cox ring of X of type λ, which coincides with the one induced by R via π. □

Over nonclosed fields, we consider the following $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant version of Proposition 4.4.

Remark 4.5. Let k be an arbitrary field, and consider the construction in Proposition 4.4 for $X_{\overline{k}}$. If the set $\{(m_1, D_1), \dots, (m_N, D_N)\}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant with respect to the componentwise action of $\mathfrak{g}$, and the character χ is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant, then $\phi_{\mathrm{g}L} \circ \mathrm{g} = \mathrm{g} \circ \phi_L$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $L \in \Lambda$, where $\{\phi_L\}_{L\in\Lambda}$ are the morphisms defined by χ and $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on S by permuting the generators as follows: $\mathrm{g}(\eta_i) = \eta_j$ if $\mathrm{g}(m_i) = m_j$. Therefore, if $R := S/(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ is a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type λ, it is endowed with a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-action, and descends to a Cox ring $R^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of X of type λ by Proposition 3.5. The ring $R^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a finitely generated k-algebra by faithfully flat descent.

4.3. **Pullback.** We recall that every Cox ring can be realized as pullback of a Cox ring of identity type, and the latter exists over a separable closure of the base field. Moreover, there is a wide and growing literature about computing generators and relations for finitely generated Cox rings of identity type over algebraically closed fields (at least in characteristic 0); see [ADHL15] and the references therein. Therefore, the pullback of Cox rings is a powerful tool to compute presentations of finitely generated Cox rings as quotients of polynomial rings. We show that the pullback of a graded k-algebra under a morphism of grading groups preserves finite generation.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\varphi : M' \to M$ be a homomorphism of finitely generated abelian groups, and R a finitely generated M-graded k-algebra. Then $\varphi^* R := \bigoplus_{m'\in M'} R_{\varphi(m')}$ is finitely generated as a k-algebra.*

Proof. If φ is injective, the statement holds by [ADHL15, Proposition 1.1.2.4]. Hence, by factoring φ through the inclusion $\varphi(M') \subseteq M$, we can assume without loss of generality that φ is surjective.

Fix homogeneous generators $s_1, \dots, s_n$ of R of degrees $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$, respectively, and let $m'_1, \dots, m'_{n'}$ be generators for M'. Up to enlarging the sets $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $(m'_1, \dots, m'_{n'})$ and permuting the indices, we can assume that $n = n'$ and that $\varphi(m'_i) = m_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots n\}$. Let M_0 be the kernel of φ, and fix elements $m''_1, \dots, m''_r \in M_0$ that generate M_0 as a monoid. Let

$$\psi : k[t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_r] \to \bigoplus_{m' \in M'} R_{\varphi(m')} =: \varphi^* R$$

be the morphism that sends the variable t_i to the section s_i in degree m'_i, for all $1 \le i \le n$ and the variable u_j to the section 1 in degree m''_j for all $1 \le j \le r$.

We show that ψ is surjective. Let s be a homogeneous element of $\varphi^* R$ of arbitrary degree $m' \in M'$. Since $s \in R_{\varphi(m')}$ and R is generated by $s_1, \dots, s_n$ as a k-algebra, we can assume, without loss of generality, that s is a monomial in the generators, namely, $s = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{a_i}$ for suitable nonnegative integers $a_1, \dots, a_n$. Then the element $m'' := m' - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i m'_i$ belongs to M_0. Write $m'' = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i m''_i$ for suitable nonnegative integers $b_1, \dots, b_r$. Then $s = \psi((\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{a_i})(\prod_{j=1}^r u_j^{b_j}))$. □

The pullback of a finitely generated k-algebra under an injective morphism of grading groups is also called *Veronese subalgebra*; see [ADHL15] for example. Generators and relations of Veronese subalgebras can be computed via an algorithm provided by the Maple package for Mori dream spaces developed by Hausen and Keicher [HK15]. An illustrative computation can be found in the next section.

5. Arithmetic applications

The embedding from Proposition 4.1 provides an explicit description of torsors as open subsets of closed subsets of affine spaces. Such a description of universal torsors has been used to prove Manin's conjecture on the distribution of rational points for certain varieties over number fields; see [Sal98, dlB02, dlBBD07, BBS14, FP15], for example. In these cases, the varieties are *split*, namely, the action of the Galois group $\mathfrak{g}$ on the geometric Picard group is trivial.

If the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on the geometric Picard group of the variety is nontrivial, other torsors may give more suitable parameterizations for the purpose of counting rational points. We present three examples where we compute the Cox rings associated to torsors of *non-identity type* that induce the parameterizations used to study rational points on some *nonsplit* varieties.

5.1. **Singular del Pezzo surfaces of degree four.** We describe a Cox ring of injective type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ of a certain nonsplit weak quartic del Pezzo surface and prove that it induces the parameterization of rational points used in the proof of Manin's conjecture in [dlBB07].

We work over $k = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\overline{k}$ be a separable closure of k. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. Let $\mathrm{i} \in \overline{k}$ with $\mathrm{i}^2 = -1$. Let S be the subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^4_k$ defined by

$$x_0 x_1 - x_2^2 = x_0^2 - x_1 x_4 + x_3^2 = 0.$$

The base extension $S_{\overline{k}}$ of S under $k \subset \overline{k}$ contains exactly one singular point of type $\mathbf{D}_4$ in $(0:0:0:0:1)$ and two lines of $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$:

$$L_1 : x_1 = x_2 = x_0 - \mathrm{i}x_3 = 0, \qquad L_2 : x_1 = x_2 = x_0 + \mathrm{i}x_3 = 0.$$

We observe that the change of variables

$$x_0 = (x'_0 + x'_3)/2,\ x_1 = -2x'_1,\ x_2 = x'_2,\ x_3 = (x'_0 - x'_3)/(2\mathrm{i}),\ x_4 = -x'_4/2,$$

induces an isomorphism between $S_{\overline{k}}$ and the split anticanonically embedded singular del Pezzo surface of type $\mathbf{D}_4$ given in $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ by

$$x_0'x_3' - x_1'x_4' = x_0'x_1' + x_1'x_3' + {x_2'}^2 = 0.$$

A minimal desingularization X of S is obtained by blowing up the singular point. According to [Der14, §3.4], $X_{\overline{k}}$ is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree 4. In particular, it is endowed with a morphism $\psi : X_{\overline{k}} \to \mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}$ which is a composition of six successive blowing-ups. Let $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_5$ be the basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ given in [Der14]. Then the intersection form is defined by $\ell_0^2 := 1$, $\ell_i^2 := -1$ for $1 \le i \le 5$, and $\ell_i.\ell_j := 0$ for all $0 \le i < j \le 5$. The classes

$$[D_1] = \ell_2 - \ell_3, \quad [D_2] = \ell_1 - \ell_2, \quad [D_3] = \ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_5, \quad [D_4] = \ell_3 - \ell_4$$

are the (-2)-curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$, the classes

$$[D_5] = \ell_5, \quad [D_6] = \ell_4$$

are the (-1)-curves, and

$$[D_7] = \ell_0 - \ell_1, \quad [D_8] = \ell_0 - \ell_5, \quad [D_9] = 2\ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4$$

are curves of self intersection 0 with

$$\psi(D_7) = \{y_2 = 0\}, \quad \psi(D_8) = \{y_0 = 0\}, \quad \psi(D_9) = \{y_0y_1 + y_2^2 = 0\}.$$

The Dynkin diagram in Figure 1 encodes the intersection pairing between the divisor classes listed above. In particular, the number of edges between distinct divisors D_i and D_j is the intersection number $D_i.D_j$.



FIGURE 1. Configuration of curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$.

Let $\Lambda := \bigoplus_{i=1}^9 \mathbb{Z}D_i$ and $\varphi : \Lambda \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ the homomorphism that sends each D_i to its class $[D_i]$. Since the divisor classes $[D_1], \dots, [D_6]$ form a basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, the kernel Λ_0 of φ is a free group of rank 3 with basis

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 &:= D_7 - (D_1 + D_3 + D_4 + D_5 + D_6), \\ E_8 &:= D_8 - (2D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + 2D_4 + 2D_6), \\ E_9 &:= D_9 - (2D_1 + D_2 + 2D_3 + D_4 + 2D_5). \end{aligned}$$

We show that the Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ computed in [Der14, §3.4] is a Cox ring of identity type according to Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 5.1. *A Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$ is given by the $\overline{k}$-algebra*

$$\overline{R} := \overline{k}[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_9]/(\eta_2\eta_7^2 + \eta_3\eta_5^2\eta_8 + \eta_4\eta_6^2\eta_9),$$

where η_i has degree $[D_i]$ for $1 \le i \le 9$, together with the character $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ defined by

$$\chi(E_7) := \psi^*(y_2/y_1), \quad \chi(E_8) := \psi^*(y_0/y_1), \quad \chi(E_9) := \psi^*(-(y_0y_1 + y_2^2)/y_1^2).$$

Proof. Using the description of ψ as composition of blowing-ups provided in [Der14, §3.4], and in particular the fact that $\psi(D_3) = \{y_1 = 0\}$, one verifies that we have $\mathrm{div}_0(\chi(E_i)) = E_i$ for $7 \leq i \leq 9$. Let $\{\phi_L\}_{L\in\Lambda}$ be the linear maps for $\overline{k}[\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_9]$ defined by χ in Proposition 4.4. We observe that

$$\phi_{D_2+2D_7}(\eta_2\eta_7^2 + \eta_3\eta_5^2\eta_8 + \eta_4\eta_6^2\eta_9) = \chi(0) + \chi(E_8 - 2E_7) + \chi(E_9 - 2E_7) = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.4, the $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$-graded $\overline{k}$-algebra $\overline{R}$ is a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ as long as the induced morphisms $\phi_{[D],D}$ are isomorphisms for all $D \in \Lambda$. This follows from the results of [Der14, §3.4] and [ADHL15, Theorem 5.4.4.3(13)]. □

The (-1)-curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$ are the strict transforms of the two lines of $S_{\overline{k}}$. Since the action of the absolute Galois group $\mathfrak{g}$ on $S_{\overline{k}}$ exchanges the lines L_1 and L_2 and the intersection pairing of divisors on $X_{\overline{k}}$ is invariant under the Galois action, the Dynkin diagram in Figure 1 is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k(\mathrm{i}))$, and $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k)$ exchanges D_3 with D_4, D_5 with D_6, D_8 with D_9, and leaves invariant D_1, D_2, D_7. We observe that the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of X is free of rank 4 generated by $[D_1], [D_2], [D_3 + D_4], [D_5 + D_6]$.

Proposition 5.2. *The k-algebra*

$$R := k[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_7]/(\xi_7^2 + \xi_2^2\xi_5^4 - \xi_3\xi_4^2\xi_6),$$

where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_4$ have degree $[D_1], [D_2], [D_3 + D_4], [D_5 + D_6]$, respectively, ξ_5 has degree $[D_1+D_3+D_4+D_5+D_6]$, ξ_6 has degree $[4D_1+2D_2+3(D_3+D_4)+2(D_5+D_6)]$ and ξ_7 has degree $[2D_1 + D_2 + 2(D_3 + D_4) + 2(D_5 + D_6)]$, is a Cox ring of X of type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2(4), Remark 3.16, Proposition 3.7 and the fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, every Cox ring R' of X of injective type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is isomorphic to the subring of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements of

$$\bigoplus_{m\in\mathrm{Pic}(X)} \overline{R}_m.$$

For $m \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, the $\overline{k}$-vector space $\overline{R}_m$ is generated by the monomials $\prod_{j=1}^9 \eta_j^{e_j}$ with $e_1, \ldots, e_9 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and such that $[\sum_{j=0}^9 e_j D_j] = m$. Solving these linear equations with $m \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ for nonnegative $e_1, \ldots, e_9$, one finds that $R'_{\overline{k}}$ is isomorphic to the subring of $\overline{R}$ generated by the elements

$$\eta_1,\ \eta_2,\ \eta_7,\ \eta_3\eta_4,\ \eta_5\eta_6,\ \eta_8\eta_9,\ \eta_3\eta_5^2\eta_8,\ \eta_4\eta_6^2\eta_9,$$

or, equivalently, by the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements

$$\eta_1,\ \eta_2,\ \eta_7,\ \eta_3\eta_4,\ \eta_5\eta_6,\ \eta_8\eta_9,\ \eta_3\eta_5^2\eta_8 + \eta_4\eta_6^2\eta_9,\ \mathrm{i}(\eta_3\eta_5^2\eta_8 - \eta_4\eta_6^2\eta_9).$$

We use the relation among the generators η_i of $\overline{R}$ to show that $R'_{\overline{k}}$ is generated by

$$\xi_1 := \eta_1, \quad \xi_2 := \eta_2, \quad \xi_3 := \eta_3\eta_4, \quad \xi_4 := 2\eta_5\eta_6,$$
$$\xi_5 := \eta_7, \quad \xi_6 := \eta_8\eta_9, \quad \xi_7 := \mathrm{i}(\eta_3\eta_5^2\eta_8 - \eta_4\eta_6^2\eta_9).$$

The elements $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_7$ satisfy the relation

$$\xi_7^2 + \xi_2^2\xi_5^4 - \xi_3\xi_4^2\xi_6 = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Since the relation (5.1) is given by an irreducible polynomial and the Cox ring R' has dimension 6, the kernel of the presentation $k[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_7] \to R'$ is the principal ideal generated by $\xi_7^2 + \xi_2^2\xi_5^4 - \xi_3\xi_4^2\xi_6$. □

Alternatively, we can compute the Cox ring in Proposition 5.2 as a Veronese subalgebra of $\overline{R}$ as follows, using the MDS package [HK15]. As input we enter the Cox ring of identity type $\overline{R}$ and the type map $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ as follows. We first define the nine generators and the relation of $\overline{R}$.

```
> TT := vars(9);
```

$$\texttt{TT} := [T[1], T[2], T[3], T[4], T[5], T[6], T[7], T[8], T[9]]$$

```
> RL := [T[2]*T[7]^2 + T[3]*T[5]^2*T[8] + T[4]*T[6]^2*T[9]];
```

$$\texttt{RL} := [T[3]T[5]^2T[8] + T[4]T[6]^2T[9] + T[2]T[7]^2]$$

We enter the degrees of the generators as a matrix `Qmat` in terms of the basis $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_5$ of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, and we create the grading morphism `Q`.

```
> Qmat := cols2matrix([[0,0,1,-1,0,0], [0,1,-1,0,0,0], [1,-1,-1,0,0,-1],
  [0,0,0,1,-1,0], [0,0,0,0,0,1], [0,0,0,0,1,0], [1,-1,0,0,0,0], [1,0,0,0,0,-1],
  [2,-1,-1,-1,-1,0]]);
```

$$\texttt{Qmat} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> Q := createAGH(createAG(9), createAG(6), Qmat);
```

$$\texttt{Q} := AGH([9, []], [6, []])$$

We create the Cox ring $\overline{R}$ as the graded algebra with generators `TT`, relations `RL` and grading `Q`.

```
> RR := createGR(RL, TT, [Q]);
```

$$\texttt{RR} := GR(9, 1, [6, []])$$

We enter the subgroup $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ in terms of the basis $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_5$ of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$.

```
> H := cols2matrix([[0,0,1,-1,0,0], [0,1,-1,0,0,0],
  [1,-1,-1,0,0,-1] + [0,0,0,1,-1,0], [0,0,0,0,0,1] + [0,0,0,0,1,0]]);
```

$$\texttt{H} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We create the subgroup `G` corresponding to `H`. The second parameter indicates that its torsion is trivial.

```
>  G := createAG(H, cols2matrix([[0,0,0,0,0,0]]));
```

$$\texttt{G} := AG(4, [])$$

We create the Cox ring of type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ as Veronese subalgebra of `RR` relative to the subgroup `G` of the grading group.

```
> R := GRveronese(RR, G);
```

$$\texttt{R} := GR(8, 3, [4, []])$$

It is a graded algebra with eight generators and three relations whose grading group is free of rank 4. We list the relations in `R`.

```
> GRveronese(RR, G, 'list');
```

$$[[T[4]T[5]^2T[6] - T[7]T[8], T[2]T[3]^2 + T[7] + T[8],$$
$$T[4]T[5]^2T[6] - T[7]T[8]],$$
$$[T[1], T[2], T[3], T[4], T[5], T[6], T[7], T[8]]]$$

The last line above lists the eight generators of `R`. Their degrees (in some basis of `G`) are encoded in the following matrix.

```
> B:=MDSdegmat(R);
```

$$\mathtt{B} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The graded algebra R is isomorphic to the Cox ring R in Proposition 5.2 via the change of variables

$$T[1] = \xi_1, \quad T[2] = 2\mathrm{i}\xi_2, \quad T[3] = \xi_5, \quad T[4] = -\xi_3,$$
$$T[5] = \xi_4, \quad T[6] = \xi_6, \quad T[7] = \xi_7 - \mathrm{i}\xi_2\xi_5^2, \quad T[8] = -\xi_7 - \mathrm{i}\xi_2\xi_5^2.$$

The product of matrices AB, where

$$\mathtt{A} := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

gives the degrees of the generators $T[1], \dots, T[8]$ of RR in terms of the basis $[D_1]$, $[D_2]$, $[D_3 + D_4]$, $[D_5 + D_6]$ of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$.

Proposition 5.3. *The parameterization of $X(\mathbb{Q})$ in* [dlBB07, §3] *is induced by a $\mathbb{Z}$-model of a torsor of type* $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$.

Proof. The divisor class

$$m := 9\ell_0 - 4\ell_1 - 3\ell_2 - 2\ell_3 - \ell_4 - \ell_5 = [11D_1 + 5D_2 + 9(D_3 + D_4) + 8(D_5 + D_6)]$$

is ample by the Nakai–Moishezon criterion. Using the MDS package [HK15], one can check that the Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type $\mathbb{Z}\ell \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is generated in degree m. Hence, m is very ample. The ideal $\sqrt{\langle R_m \rangle}$, which defines the complement of the torsor Y of type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ inside $\operatorname{Spec} R$ by Corollary 4.3, is the radical of the ideal generated by

$$\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_5,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_7,\ \xi_1\xi_2\xi_5\xi_6,\ \xi_1\xi_6\xi_7,\ \xi_2\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6,\ \xi_4\xi_5\xi_6\xi_7,$$

which is the radical of the ideal

$$I := (\xi_1, \xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)(\xi_2, \xi_3\xi_4\xi_6\xi_7)(\xi_3, \xi_5\xi_6\xi_7)(\xi_4, \xi_5\xi_7). \tag{5.2}$$

The monomial generators of I that one obtaines expanding the product in (5.2) satisfy the hypothesis [Pie15a, (3.4)]. Then, by [Pie15a, Theorem 1.10], the set of integral points on the $\mathbb{Z}$-model of the torsor Y constructed in [Pie15a, Construction 3.1] are $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_7) \in \mathbb{Z}^7$ that satisfy (5.1) and

$$\gcd(\xi_1, \xi_4\xi_5\xi_6) = \gcd(\xi_2, \xi_3\xi_4\xi_6\xi_7) = \gcd(\xi_3, \xi_5\xi_6\xi_7) = \gcd(\xi_4, \xi_5\xi_7) = 1. \tag{5.3}$$

Denote by d the positive integer such that ξ_3/d^2 is a squarefree integer. Under the change of variables

$$v_1 := d\xi_1, \quad v_2 := \xi_3/d^2, \quad y_0 := \xi_5, \quad y_1 := d\xi_4, \quad y_2 := \xi_2, \quad y_3 := \xi_7, \quad y_4 := \xi_6,$$

$d = \gcd(v_1, y_1)$ if and only if $\gcd(\xi_1, \xi_4) = 1$. Hence, the equation (5.1) corresponds to [dlBB07, (3.10)], the coprimality conditions (5.3) correspond to [dlBB07, (3.13),(3,14)], and the parameterization morphism is given by the monomials

$$x_0 := \xi_1^2\xi_2^2\xi_3\xi_5^2, \quad x_1 := \xi_1^4\xi_2^2\xi_3^3\xi_4^2, \quad x_2 := \xi_1^3\xi_2^2\xi_3^2\xi_4\xi_5, \quad x_3 := \xi_1^2\xi_2\xi_3\xi_7, \quad x_4 := \xi_6,$$

of anticanonical degree $m' := 3\ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 - \ell_5$, which form a basis of $R_{m'}$, as expected. □

5.2. **Châtelet surfaces.** We describe some Cox rings for Châtelet surfaces and explain how they appear in the proofs of Manin's conjecture in [dlBBP12] and [Des15]. A *Châtelet surface* X over a field k is a smooth compactification of an affine surface defined in $\mathbb{A}^3_k$ by an equation of the form

$$x^2 - ay^2 = P(z),$$

where P is a separable polynomial of degree 4 and $a \in k^\times$; see [CTSSD87a, CTSSD87b].

Assume that $a = -1$ is not a square in k. Over the separable closure $\overline{k}$ of k, the homogenization of P is a product of four linear polynomials $l_j(u,v) := a_j u + b_j v$ with pairwise nonproportional $(a_j, b_j) \in \overline{k}^2$. Let $X_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2_k \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$ be defined by

$$x^2 + y^2 = t^2 \prod_{j=1}^{4} l_j(u,1),$$

and let $X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2_k \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$ be defined by

$$x^2 + y^2 = t^2 \prod_{j=1}^{4} l_j(1,v).$$

Let X be the Châtelet surface obtained by glueing X_1 and X_2 along the isomorphism

$$X_1 \cap \{u \neq 0\} \to X_2 \cap \{v \neq 0\}, \quad ((x:y:t),u) \mapsto ((x:y:u^2t),1/u).$$

An anticanonical morphism $\psi : X \to \mathbb{P}^4_k$, maps X onto the singular quartic del Pezzo surface $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^4_k$ defined by

$$x_0x_2 - x_1^2 = x_3^2 + x_4^2 - (ax_0 + bx_1 + cx_2)(a'x_0 + b'x_1 + c'x_2) = 0,$$

where

$$(a,b,c) := (a_1a_2, a_1b_2 + a_2b_1, b_1b_2), \quad (a',b',c') := (a_3a_4, a_3b_4 + a_4b_3, b_3b_4).$$

The singularities of $X'_{\overline{k}}$ are $P^\pm := (0:0:0:1:-(\pm \mathrm{i}))$, of type $\mathbf{A}_1$, where $\mathrm{i}^2 = -1$, and $X'_{\overline{k}}$ contains precisely eight lines of $\mathbb{P}^4_k$:

$$D'^{\pm}_j := \{x_3 - (\pm\mathrm{i})x_4 = a_jx_1 + b_jx_2 = a_jx_0 + b_jx_1 = 0\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 4.$$

The anticanonical morphism ψ is a minimal desingularization of X'. Hence, the Châtelet surface X is a weak del Pezzo surface. The (-1)-curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$ are precisely the strict transforms $D^\pm_j$ of the lines $D'^{\pm}_j$ on $X'_{\overline{k}}$, that is,

$$D^\pm_j \cap X_{1,\overline{k}} = \{x - (\pm\mathrm{i})y = l_j(u,1) = 0\}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 4$. The (-2)-curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$ are the inverse images $D^\pm_0$ of the singularities $P^\pm \in X'_{\overline{k}}$. They are determined by

$$D^\pm_0 \cap X_{1,\overline{k}} = \{x - (\pm\mathrm{i})y = t = 0\}.$$

The Dynkin diagram in Figure 2 encodes the configuration of negative curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$. For every two curves D, D' in the diagram, the number of edges between D and D' is the intersection number $[D].[D']$.

Let $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^{10}$ be the free abstract group with basis $\{D^\pm_j\}_{0\leq j\leq 4}$, and Λ_0 the kernel of the homomorphism $\Lambda \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ that sends a divisor to its class. According to [dlBBP12, (2.2), (2.3)], $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$ is generated by the divisors in Λ, and $\Lambda_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ is generated by

$$E_{i,j} := (D^+_i + D^-_i) - (D^+_j + D^-_j),$$
$$E_{\{i,j\},\{l,m\}} := (D^+_0 + D^+_i + D^+_j) - (D^-_0 + D^-_l + D^-_m),$$



FIGURE 2. Configuration of curves on $X_{\overline{k}}$.

for $\{i,j,l,m\} = \{1,2,3,4\}$. Note that $E_{1,2}, E_{1,3}, E_{1,4}, E_{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}}$ form a basis for Λ_0, because

$$E_{i,j} = -E_{j,i}, \quad E_{i,j} + E_{j,l} = E_{i,l}, \quad E_{\{i,j\},\{l,m\}} - E_{i,l} = E_{\{j,l\},\{i,m\}} \tag{5.4}$$

for all choices of $\{i,j,l,m\} = \{1,2,3,4\}$.

We show that the Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ computed in [Der06, 6.4(iii)] is a Cox ring of identity type according to Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 5.4. *A Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$ is given by the $\overline{k}$-algebra*

$$\overline{R} := \overline{k}[\eta_0^{\pm}, \dots, \eta_4^{\pm}]/(\Delta_{i,j}\eta_l^+\eta_l^- + \Delta_{j,l}\eta_i^+\eta_i^- + \Delta_{l,i}\eta_j^+\eta_j^-)_{1\le i<j<l\le 4}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\Delta_{i,j} := a_ib_j - a_jb_i$ for all $1 \le i,j \le 4$ and $\eta_j^{\pm}$ has degree $D_j^{\pm}$ for all $0 \le j \le 4$, together with the character $\chi : \Lambda_0 \to \overline{k}(X_{\overline{k}})^\times$ defined by

$$\chi(E_{i,j}) := \psi^*\left(\frac{a_ix_1 + b_ix_2}{a_jx_1 + b_jx_2}\right),$$
$$\chi(E_{\{i,j\},\{l,m\}}) := \psi^*\left(\frac{x_3 - \mathrm{i}x_4}{a_la_mx_0 + (a_lb_m + a_mb_l)x_1 + b_mb_lx_2}\right)$$

for all choices of $\{i,j,l,m\} = \{1,2,3,4\}$.

Proof. The map χ is a group homomorphism because it respects the equalities (5.4). This is verified by direct computations recalling that $x_0x_2 = x_1^2$. Moreover, by the definition of χ and since it is a group homomorphism, $\mathrm{div}_0(\chi(E)) = E$ for all $E \in \Lambda_0$. Let $\{\phi_L\}_{L\in\Lambda}$ be the linear maps for $\overline{k}[\eta_0^{\pm}, \dots, \eta_4^{\pm}]$ defined by χ in Proposition 4.4.

We observe that the polynomials

$$g_{i,j,l} := \Delta_{i,j}\eta_l^+\eta_l^- + \Delta_{j,l}\eta_i^+\eta_i^- + \Delta_{l,i}\eta_j^+\eta_j^- \tag{5.6}$$

have all the same degree $[D_1^+ + D_1^-] = \cdots = [D_4^+ + D_4^-]$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, and that

$$\phi_{D_m^+ + D_m^-}(g_{i,j,l}) = \Delta_{i,j}\chi(E_{l,m}) + \Delta_{j,l}\chi(E_{i,m}) + \Delta_{l,i}\chi(E_{j,m}) = 0$$

for all $1 \le i < j < l \le 4$ and all $m \in \{1,\dots,4\}$. By Proposition 4.4, the $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$-graded $\overline{k}$-algebra $\overline{R}$ is a Cox ring of $X_{\overline{k}}$ as long as the induced morphisms $\phi_{[D],D}$ are isomorphisms for all $D \in \Lambda$. The results of [Der06, 6.4(iii)] and [ADHL15, Theorem 5.4.4.5(3)] ensure that the ten generators corresponding to the negative curves and the relations $g_{i,j,l}$ in degree $[D_1^+ + D_1^-]$ are sufficient, namely, that each $\phi_{[D],D}$ is an isomorphism. □

5.2.1. *Four linear factors.* We first consider the family of Châtelet surfaces from [dlBBP12]. Namely, we assume that the polynomial P splits over k, in particular, we can choose $a_1, \dots, a_4, b_1, \dots, b_4 \in k$. We observe that the set $\{D_0^{\pm}, \dots, D_4^{\pm}\}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant, and that each divisor is defined over $k(\mathrm{i})$, that is, fixed under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k(\mathrm{i}))$. Let $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be the nontrivial element. Then $\mathrm{g}(D_j^+) = D_j^-$ for all $j \in \{0,\dots,4\}$.

In [dlBBP12, §4], it is briefly mentioned that the construction of universal torsors and of certain intermediate torsors is akin to the constructions based upon Cox rings. We make this relation precise by describing Cox rings of X explicitly as in Proposition 4.4 and Remark 4.5.

Proposition 5.5. *The k-algebra*

$$R := k[\xi_j, \mu_j, j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}]/(\tilde{g}_{i,j,l})_{1 \le i < j < l \le 4},$$

with

$$\tilde{g}_{i,j,l} := \Delta_{i,j}(\xi_l^2 + \mu_l^2) + \Delta_{j,l}(\xi_i^2 + \mu_i^2) + \Delta_{l,i}(\xi_j^2 + \mu_j^2) \tag{5.7}$$

for all $1 \le i < j < l \le 4$, is a Cox ring of X of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$.

Proof. The character χ defined in Proposition 5.4 is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant because for all choices of $\{i, j, l, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$,

$$\mathrm{g}(E_{i,j}) = E_{i,j}, \qquad \mathrm{g}(E_{\{i,j\},\{l,m\}}) = -E_{\{l,m\},\{i,j\}}$$

and

$$x_3^2 + x_4^2 = (a_l a_m x_0 + (a_l b_m + a_m b_l)x_1 + b_l b_m x_2)(a_i a_j x_0 + (a_i b_j + a_j b_i)x_1 + b_i b_j x_2)$$

is the second equation of X'. Then the Cox ring $\overline{R}$ defined in Proposition 5.4 with the action of g that exchanges η_j^+ and η_j^- for all $0 \le j \le 4$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant by Remark 4.5. Hence, the subring $\overline{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements of $\overline{R}$ is a Cox ring of X of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$ by Proposition 3.5. We observe that $R \cong \overline{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ via

$$\xi_j := (\eta_j^+ + \eta_j^-)/2, \quad \mu_j := (\eta_j^+ - \eta_j^-)/(2\mathrm{i}),$$

so that $\eta_j^{\pm} = \xi_j \pm \mathrm{i}\mu_j$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$. □

Now we describe representatives for all isomorphism classes of Cox rings of X of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$. We twist the Cox ring R in Proposition 5.5 by an element of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, G)$, where $G := \mathrm{Spec}\,\overline{k}[\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the k-quasitorus dual to $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. By [GS06, Proposition 3.3.14], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k), G(k(\mathrm{i}))) \to H^1(\mathfrak{g}, G(\overline{k})) \to H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}, k(\mathrm{i})), G_{k(\mathrm{i})}(\overline{k})).$$

We recall that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}, k(\mathrm{i}))$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$, so that $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{k(\mathrm{i})})$ equals $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. Then $G_{k(\mathrm{i})} \cong \mathbb{G}^6_{m,k(\mathrm{i})}$ and the last group in the exact sequence above is trivial. Therefore, we always represent an element of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(k, G)$ by a cocycle $\sigma : \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k) \to G(k(\mathrm{i}))$, which is determined by $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(X_{k(\mathrm{i})}), k(\mathrm{i})^{\times}) \cong G(k(\mathrm{i}))$, where $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the nontrivial element, as above.

Proposition 5.6. *The k-algebra*

$$R^{\sigma} := k[\xi_j, \mu_j, j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}]/(\tilde{g}^{\sigma}_{i,j,l})_{1 \le i < j < l \le 4},$$

with

$$\tilde{g}^{\sigma}_{i,j,l} := \Delta_{i,j}(\xi_l^2 + \mu_l^2) + \Delta_{j,l} n^{\sigma}_{i,l}(\xi_i^2 + \mu_i^2) + \Delta_{l,i} n^{\sigma}_{j,l}(\xi_j^2 + \mu_j^2) \tag{5.8}$$

for all $1 \le i < j < l \le 4$, where

$$n^{\sigma}_{i,j} := \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^+ - D_i^+]) \in k^{\times}$$

for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, is a Cox ring of X of type $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})}$.

Proof. The natural action of g on $\overline{R}$ twisted by σ exchanges η_j^- with $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^+])\eta_j^+$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.7. Then

$$\xi_j := (\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^+])\eta_j^+ + \eta_j^-)/2, \quad \mu_j := (\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^+])\eta_j^+ - \eta_j^-)/(2\mathrm{i}),$$

are invariant under the twisted action and

$$k[\xi_j, \mu_j, j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}] \otimes_k \overline{k} \cong \overline{k}[\eta_j^{\pm}, j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}]$$

as

$$\eta_j^+ = \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^+])^{-1}(\xi_j + \mathrm{i}\mu_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_j^- = \xi_j - \mathrm{i}\mu_j. \tag{5.9}$$

Substituting (5.9) into (5.6) and multiplying by $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_l^+])$, we obtain (5.8). Moreover, we use the cocycle condition and the fact that $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(E_{i,j}) = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ to check that $\mathrm{g}(n_{i,j}^\sigma) = n_{i,j}^\sigma$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. □

For $k = \mathbb{Q}$, the polynomials $\tilde{g}_{i,j,l}^\sigma$ defining the twisted Cox rings R^σ in Proposition 5.6 are the same as the equations [dlBBP12, (4.2)] since we can choose $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in (\mathbb{Z} \smallsetminus \{0\})^4$ such that $n_{i,j}^\sigma = n_i/n_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. Note that [dlBBP12, Proposition 4.9] shows that every k-rational point on X can be lifted to a universal torsor corresponding to a twisted Cox ring as above with (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) such that $n_1n_2n_3n_4$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}$. We show that twisted Cox rings as above are characterized by the fact that $n_1n_2n_3n_4$ is a sum of two squares.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in (k^\times)^4$. There is a cocycle $\sigma : \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k) \to G(k(\mathrm{i}))$ such that $n_{i,j}^\sigma = n_i/n_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ if and only if $n_1n_2n_3n_4 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2$ with $\alpha, \beta \in k$.*

Proof. Given a cocycle σ as in the statement,

$$n_1n_2n_3n_4 = n_1^4 n_{1,1}^\sigma n_{2,1}^\sigma n_{3,1}^\sigma n_{4,1}^\sigma = n_1^4 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_0^+ + 2D_1^+])\mathrm{g}(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_0^+ + 2D_1^+])),$$

using $[E_{\{3,4\},\{1,2\}} - E_{1,2}] = [0] \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_{k(\mathrm{i})})$ and the cocycle condition. Hence, $n_1n_2n_3n_4 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2$ with $\alpha, \beta \in k$ such that $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_0^+ + 2D_1^+]) = (\alpha + \mathrm{i}\beta)/n_1^2$. Conversely, if $n_1n_2n_3n_4 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2$ with $\alpha, \beta \in k$,

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_0^+]) := \alpha + \mathrm{i}\beta, \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_0^-]) := (\alpha - \mathrm{i}\beta)^{-1},$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^+]) := n_j^{-1}, \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}([D_j^-]) := n_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, 4\},$$

defines a cocycle $\sigma : \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(X_{k(\mathrm{i})}), k(\mathrm{i})^\times)$. □

Since X has a Cox ring of identity type, Cox rings of X of arbitrary type exist by Remark 3.16. The next proposition computes a Cox ring of X of injective type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. We recall that $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by $[D_0^+ + D_0^-]$ and $[D_1^+ + D_1^-]$.

Proposition 5.8. *Every Cox ring of X of injective type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is isomorphic to the $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$-graded k-algebra*

$$k[x, y, t, u, v]/(x^2 + y^2 - t^2 \prod_{j=1}^{4}(a_j u + b_j v)),$$

where x and y have degree $[D_0^+ + D_0^- + 2(D_1^+ + D_1^-)]$, t has degree $[D_0^+ + D_0^-]$, and u and v have degree $[D_1^+ + D_1^-]$.

Proof. By Remark 3.16 and the fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, every Cox ring R' of injective type $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant subring of

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)} \overline{R}_m,$$

where $\overline{R}$ is the Cox ring defined in Proposition 5.4. For $m \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$, the $\overline{k}$-vector space $\overline{R}_m$ is generated by the monomials $\prod_{j=0}^4 (\eta_j^+)^{e_j^+} (\eta_j^-)^{e_j^-}$ with $e_j^\pm \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, for $0 \leq j \leq 4$, and such that $[\sum_{j=0}^4 (e_j^+ D_j^+ + e_j^- D_j^-)] = m$. Solving these linear equations

with $m \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ for nonnegative $e_0^{\pm}, \dots, e_4^{\pm}$, one finds that $R'_{\overline{k}}$ is isomorphic to the subring of $\overline{R}$ generated by the elements

$$\theta^+ := \eta_0^+ \prod_{j=0}^{4} \eta_j^+, \quad \theta^- := \eta_0^- \prod_{j=0}^{4} \eta_j^-, \quad \theta_j := \eta_j^+ \eta_j^-, \ j \in \{0, \dots, 4\},$$

that satisfy the relations

$$\theta^+\theta^- = \theta_0 \prod_{j=0}^{4} \theta_j, \qquad \Delta_{i,j}\theta_l + \Delta_{j,l}\theta_i + \Delta_{l,i}\theta_j = 0, \ 1 \le i < j < l \le 4.$$

The elements x, y, t, u, v of $R'_{\overline{k}}$ determined by

$$x + \mathrm{i}y = \eta_0^+ \prod_{j=0}^{4} \eta_j^+, \quad x - \mathrm{i}y = \eta_0^- \prod_{j=0}^{4} \eta_j^-,$$
$$t = \eta_0^+\eta_0^-, \quad a_j u + b_j v = \eta_j^+\eta_j^-, \ j \in \{1, \dots, 4\},$$

are $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant and generate $R'_{\overline{k}}$. The kernel of the induced ring homomorphism $\overline{k}[x, y, t, u, v] \to \overline{R}$ contains the irreducible polynomial

$$x^2 + y^2 - t^2 \prod_{j=1}^{4} (a_j u + b_j v).$$

Hence, $R' \cong k[x, y, t, u, v]/(x^2+y^2-t^2\prod_{j=1}^{4}(a_j u+b_j v))$, as R' has dimension 4. □

The Cox ring in Proposition 5.8 corresponds to the torsor in [dlBBP12, Definition 4.1].

5.2.2. *Two linear factors and a quadratic factor.* We consider the family of Châtelet surfaces from [Des15]. Namely, we assume that the polynomial P factors as L_1L_2Q over k, where $L_j := l_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $Q := l_3l_4$ is irreducible over $k(\mathrm{i})$. Let k' be the quadratic extension of $k(\mathrm{i})$ that splits Q. We observe that the set $\{D_0^{\pm}, \dots, D_4^{\pm}\}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant, and that each divisor is defined over k', and hence, fixed under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k')$. Let $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathrm{i})/k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{g}' \in \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k(\mathrm{i})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be the nontrivial elements. Then $\mathrm{g}(D_j^+) = D_j^-$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$, $\mathrm{g}'(D_3^+) = D_4^+$ and $\mathrm{g}'(D_3^-) = D_4^-$.

The explicit parameterization used in [Des15] to determine the distribution of rational points on this family of surfaces over $k := \mathbb{Q}$ is induced by Cox rings and torsors of type $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{k(\mathrm{i})}) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. These Cox rings as well as Cox rings of identity type have been computed in [Des15, §7.2–§7.3] by applying our techniques.

References

[ADHL15] I. Arzhantsev, U. Derenthal, J. Hausen, and A. Laface. *Cox rings*, volume 144 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.

[AHS02] A. A'Campo, J. Hausen, and S. Schröer. Homogeneous coordinates and quotient presentations for toric varieties. *Math. Nachr.*, 246/247:5–19, 2002.

[BBS14] V. Blomer, J. Brüdern, and P. Salberger. On a certain senary cubic form. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 108(4):911–964, 2014.

[BH03] F. Berchtold and J. Hausen. Homogeneous coordinates for algebraic varieties. *J. Algebra*, 266(2):636–670, 2003.

[BH07] F. Berchtold and J. Hausen. Cox rings and combinatorics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(3):1205–1252 (electronic), 2007.

[Bor63] M. Borelli. Divisorial varieties. *Pacific J. Math.*, 13:375–388, 1963.

[BP04] V. V. Batyrev and O. N. Popov. The Cox ring of a del Pezzo surface. In *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002)*, volume 226 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 85–103. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.

[Bri07] M. Brion. The total coordinate ring of a wonderful variety. *J. Algebra*, 313(1):61–99, 2007.

[Cox95] D. A. Cox. The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(1):17–50, 1995.

[CT06] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev. Hilbert's 14th problem and Cox rings. *Compos. Math.*, 142(6):1479–1498, 2006.

[CT15] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev. $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is not a Mori dream space. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(8):1641–1667, 2015.

[CTS77] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc. Variétés de première descente attachées aux variétés rationnelles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 284(16):A967–A970, 1977.

[CTS87] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc. La descente sur les variétés rationnelles. II. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(2):375–492, 1987.

[CTSSD87a] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, and P. Swinnerton-Dyer. Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces. I. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 373:37–107, 1987.

[CTSSD87b] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, and P. Swinnerton-Dyer. Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces. II. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 374:72–168, 1987.

[Der06] U. Derenthal. *Geometry of universal torsors (dissertation)*. Universität Göttingen, 2006.

[Der14] U. Derenthal. Singular del Pezzo surfaces whose universal torsors are hypersurfaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 108(3):638–681, 2014.

[Des15] K. Destagnol. La conjecture de Manin pour certaines surfaces de Châtelet. *Acta Arith., to appear*, arXiv:1509.07060v2, 2015.

[DHH+15] U. Derenthal, J. Hausen, A. Heim, S. Keicher, and A. Laface. Cox rings of cubic surfaces and Fano threefolds. *J. Algebra*, 436:228–276, 2015.

[dlB02] R. de la Bretèche. Nombre de points de hauteur bornée sur les surfaces de del Pezzo de degré 5. *Duke Math. J.*, 113(3):421–464, 2002.

[dlBB07] R. de la Bretèche and T. D. Browning. On Manin's conjecture for singular del Pezzo surfaces of degree four. II. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 143(3):579–605, 2007.

[dlBBD07] R. de la Bretèche, T. D. Browning, and U. Derenthal. On Manin's conjecture for a certain singular cubic surface. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 40(1):1–50, 2007.

[dlBBP12] R. de la Bretèche, T. Browning, and E. Peyre. On Manin's conjecture for a family of Châtelet surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(1):297–343, 2012.

[EKW04] E. J. Elizondo, K. Kurano, and K. Watanabe. The total coordinate ring of a normal projective variety. *J. Algebra*, 276(2):625–637, 2004.

[FMT89] J. Franke, Y. I. Manin, and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):421–435, 1989.

[FP15] C. Frei and M. Pieropan. O-minimality on twisted universal torsors and Manin's conjecture over number fields. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., to appear*, arXiv:1312.6603v3, 2015.

[Gag14] G. Gagliardi. The Cox ring of a spherical embedding. *J. Algebra*, 397:548–569, 2014.

[GOST15] Y. Gongyo, S. Okawa, A. Sannai, and S. Takagi. Characterization of varieties of Fano type via singularities of Cox rings. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 24(1):159–182, 2015.

[Gro03] A. Grothendieck. *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. Documents Mathématiques (Paris), 3. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original.

[GS06] P. Gille and T. Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, volume 101 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[Has09] B. Hassett. Rational surfaces over nonclosed fields. In *Arithmetic geometry*, volume 8 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 155–209. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[Hau08] J. Hausen. Cox rings and combinatorics. II. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 8(4):711–757, 847, 2008.

[HK00] Y. Hu and S. Keel. Mori dream spaces and GIT. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:331–348, 2000. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[HK15] J. Hausen and S. Keicher. A software package for Mori dream spaces. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 18(1):647–659, 2015.

[HKL16] J. Hausen, S. Keicher, and A. Laface. Computing Cox rings. *Math. Comp.*, 85(297):467–502, 2016.

[HS10] J. Hausen and H. Süß. The Cox ring of an algebraic variety with torus action. *Adv. Math.*, 225(2):977–1012, 2010.

[HT04] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel. Universal torsors and Cox rings. In *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002)*, volume 226 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 149–173. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.

[KMRT98] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol. *The book of involutions*, volume 44 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.

[MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1994.

[Mil12] J. S. Milne. Algebraic geometry, version 5.22. Course Notes. `http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/ag.html`, 2012.

[Pie15a] M. Pieropan. *Torsors and generalized Cox rings for Manin's conjecture*. PhD thesis, Leibniz Universität Hannover, 2015.

[Pie15b] M. Pieropan. Imaginary quadratic points on toric varieties via universal torsors. *Manuscripta Math.*, arXiv:1505.05789, 2015.

[Sal98] P. Salberger. Tamagawa measures on universal torsors and points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Astérisque*, (251):91–258, 1998. Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée (Paris, 1996).

[Ser02] J.-P. Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, english edition, 2002.

[Sko01] A. Skorobogatov. *Torsors and rational points*, volume 144 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[Sme15] A. Smeets. Insufficiency of the étale Brauer–Manin obstruction: towards a simply connected example. *Amer. J. Math., to appear*, arXiv:1409.6706v2, 2015.

[TVAV11] D. Testa, A. Várilly-Alvarado, and M. Velasco. Big rational surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 351(1):95–107, 2011.

Institut für Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany

E-mail address: `derenthal@math.uni-hannover.de`

Institut für Mathematik, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany

E-mail address: `pieropan@zedat.fu-berlin.de`